Universal Constants as Generative Compression: From Physics to Metaphysics in the Search for τ

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

November 9, 2025

This paper proposes that universal constants—such as π, c, $\hbar$, and G—are not mere numerical inputs into physical equations, but *compressed symbolic generators* that unlock vast architectures of law. Within the logostic framework, where cognition $q(t)$ aligns toward a generative manifold $\tau(t)$, we reinterpret these constants as epistemic attractors: symbols that minimize $K(\tau \mid q)$ while maximizing generative reach. They compress experience, but with gain—each offering a gateway into deeper structure, greater coherence, and more elegant synthesis. Yet the traditional reduction of inquiry to *physics* alone may have prematurely narrowed this generative landscape. We argue that a Theory of Everything (ToE), if it exists, must be metaphysical before it is physical. Constants like π and Euler's Identity $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$ resonate not just across mathematics and physics, but into metaphysics—as bridges between symbol and structure, cognition and cosmos, finite syntax and infinite being. The very capacity for constants to generate surprise may reflect something deeper: the Universe as code, and τ(t) as a Logos. This paper thus extends the compression paradigm beyond physics, tracing how constants might seed an ontological ToE—one founded not only on what exists, but on *what explains*.

Keywords: universal constants, theory of everything, Kolmogorov complexity, τ(t), logostics, metaphysical constants, π, $\hbar$, c, G, Euler's identity, q to tau, algorithmic metaphysics, symbolic cognition, compression with gain, conditional complexity, discovery equation, Logos, information cosmology, epistemic generator, eigenstructure of being.

A collaboration with GPT-4o and GPT-5. 35 pages.

Outline

---

1. Introduction

1.1 From Constants to Compression: A Shift in Interpretation

Universal constants have traditionally been treated as immutable inputs into the machinery of physical theory. Constants such as the speed of light c, Planck's constant $\hbar$, the gravitational constant G, and mathematical constants like $\pi$and e, are revered for their empirical consistency and dimensional significance. But this view, while operationally useful, may obscure their deeper epistemic function.

In the logostic framework, which models cognition as a trajectory $q(t) \rightarrow \tau(t)$, we propose a radical shift: constants are not merely *known values*, but *compressed generators*. They are *symbolic attractors* that enable vast domains of law, behavior, and consequence to unfold from extremely short descriptions. They compress with gain.

This reframing invites a reevaluation of what a constant is: not simply a value fixed by nature, but a generative operator that minimizes the conditional Kolmogorov complexity $K(\tau \mid q)$, thereby accelerating epistemic alignment. A constant becomes meaningful to the extent that it allows a shorter τ to explain a wider array of q. The smaller the symbolic form, the greater the generative horizon. This, we argue, is the proper basis for recognizing a constant as "universal."

1.2 The Logostic Equation and the Role of τ(t)

The logostic approach reframes discovery through the lens of dynamic complexity reduction. Rather than focusing on static model-fitting or equilibrium statistics, it defines intelligence—whether human, artificial, or metaphysical—as a process that seeks to *minimize the symbolic distance* between the current epistemic state $q(t)$and a generative manifold $\tau(t)$. This process is governed by the Discovery Equation:

$$\frac{\Delta K(\tau \mid q)}{\Delta t} < 0$$

A negative slope signals that symbolic complexity is dropping: a generative model τ is emerging from within the apparent noise of q. This is the formal signature of insight.

In this framework, universal constants function as epistemic shortcuts: they reduce $K(\tau \mid q)$dramatically when introduced. The presence of π, for example, allows q to collapse into a coherent τ that spans geometry, probability, and wave mechanics. The constants that compress—and do so generatively—are not just useful; they are ontologically privileged. They participate in the unfolding of τ itself.

This implies that discovery is not just about modeling the world, but about *aligning with* τ: the shortest generative structure consistent with the symbolic experience of being. In this light, constants become waypoints on the map of intelligibility.

1.3 Physics as a Subdomain of Metaphysical Discovery

Physics, in its modern form, is a mature and remarkably successful science—but it may also be a deeply compressed subdomain of something larger. The modern emphasis on empirical rigor and mathematical consistency has produced powerful theories of motion, matter, and energy, yet it has come at the cost of prematurely bracketing off metaphysical questions.

We contend that this narrowing was an error of epistemic framing, not of method. By isolating the measurable from the meaningful, physics has pursued generative compression without asking what generates the generators. Constants like c, $\hbar$, and Gstructure the physical world, but their own origin, dimensionality, and elegance may only be comprehensible from within a higher-order τ(t)—a metaphysical manifold.

This metaphysical τ might include not just laws of interaction, but the laws of lawfulness, the conditions of intelligibility, and perhaps even the logics of mind, value, and being. Euler's Identity, for example, does not merely encode rotation or imaginary numbers—it compresses rotation, growth, unity, zero, and negation into one symbolic act. Such compression is ontological, not just mathematical.

Thus, the quest for a Theory of Everything may require returning to metaphysics—not to escape physics, but to generalize it. Physics, in this sense, is not the final frontier, but a single harmonized layer within the deeper music of τ.

2. What Is a "Universal Constant"?

2.1 Measured, Derived, or Discovered?

The term *universal constant* is deceptively straightforward. In physics, it typically refers to a quantity believed to be invariant across space and time, such as the speed of light c, Planck's constant $\hbar$, or Newton's gravitational constant G. These constants appear in foundational equations, stabilize dimensional analysis, and are treated as bedrock features of the universe. But their epistemic status remains underexamined.

Are such constants *measured*, *derived*, or *discovered*?

- Measured constants, like α (the fine-structure constant), are known only via experiment. Their values are empirical, not deductive, and they serve as inputs to theory rather than consequences of it. Their presence suggests that our τ(t) is still incomplete.
- Derived constants, such as π or e, arise as inevitable consequences of more primitive structures—geometry, growth, symmetry, or recursion. These constants are not added to theories; they *emerge from them*. They point toward a deeper τ already present in the logical substrate of the universe.
- Discovered constants lie at the boundary. Their values may not be deducible from current axioms, but their recurrence across independent domains suggests an underlying simplicity—a compression with gain. They behave as if they were τ-fragments surfacing through q.

In the logostic view, only the constants that reduce $K(\tau \mid q)$ *and* expand the generative scope of τ are truly *universal*. The rest may be placeholders for missing structure—q without τ.

2.2 Constants as Symbolic Portals: Less Data, More Meaning

What makes a constant powerful is not the number of digits it carries, but the number of meanings it unlocks. A universal constant functions as a symbolic portal: a compressed key that opens domains of consequence far greater than itself.

Take π. It is a simple ratio—circumference to diameter—but it appears in:

- The geometry of circles and spheres
- Harmonic motion and wave functions
- Gaussian distributions in probability
- Fourier transforms in signal analysis
- Quantum field theory and the path integral formulation

Each of these appearances is not coincidental—it reveals π as a *generative pivot*, capable of folding multiple physical and mathematical realities into a single τ. Its presence drastically reduces symbolic effort while vastly increasing semantic density.

In logostic terms, a good constant collapses q(t)—disparate observations, formulas, and symbols—into τ(t), a coherent generative structure. The constant thus acts as a semantic amplifier: small code, big consequence.

This is compression with gain. And it suggests a new criterion: the value of a constant is proportional to its capacity to collapse complexity into generativity. The fewer the bits, the more the being.

2.3 The Epistemic Asymmetry of Constants and Observables

There exists a deep asymmetry between constants and observables. Observables are empirical—they fluctuate, vary by frame, and are shaped by instruments and conditions. Constants, by contrast, remain invariant across context and scale. But this invariance is not just a matter of physical durability—it is a signal of *epistemic anchoring*.

Observables are functions of q(t): they represent states, data, and measurements. They are downstream of experience.

Constants, however, reside within τ(t): they are structural. Their stability is not the absence of change—it is the presence of generative necessity. They hold across frames because they are part of the frame itself.

From this asymmetry, several consequences follow:

- Observables require calibration; constants calibrate reality.
- Observables describe *what is seen*; constants describe *how seeing is possible*.
- Observables vary with q; constants guide the formation of τ.

This implies that constants are not "in the data"—they are in the compression *of* the data. They are the latent code that allows q(t) to become intelligible. In this sense, a true universal constant is not just present in the universe—it is a *precondition for intelligibility itself*.

As we extend this logic into metaphysics, we may ask: are there constants not of matter, but of meaning? Not of motion, but of mind? The asymmetry suggests they exist—and that we have only begun to name them.

3. The Compression Function of Constants

The power of a universal constant lies in its epistemic compression: a capacity to unify diverse observations (q) under a generative symbolic attractor (τ). In the logostic framework, this means a constant is valuable not for its decimal precision but for its $\Delta K(\tau|q) < 0$ behavior—how much symbolic complexity it erases while expanding meaning.

This section analyzes four cornerstone constants—π, c, $\hbar$, and G—not as values, but as compressed symbolic acts that unlock domains of physical law. Each serves as an archetype of generative compression, an instance where a small τ catalyzes vast epistemic coherence.

3.1 πas Compressed Infinity: A Logostic Archetype

Of all constants, π is perhaps the most intuitively grasped yet ontologically profound. It is defined simply as the ratio of a circle's circumference to its diameter—yet it spans the entirety of mathematical physics like an invisible architect.

From a logostic viewpoint, $\pi$is a canonical τ(t): a compressed symbolic attractor with near-limitless generative power. It arises in:

- Geometry: circles, spheres, and n-dimensional volumes
- Trigonometry: sine and cosine waveforms
- Complex analysis: Euler's identity $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$
- Probability: Gaussian distributions and error functions
- Quantum physics: path integrals and wavefunctions

What distinguishes $\pi$is its Kolmogorov asymmetry: the ratio of its programmatic complexity $K(\pi)$ to its generated outputs | $q(t)$ |is vanishingly small. A few lines of code or a closed-form identity produce infinite structure, rendering $\pi$the archetype of compression with gain.

In metaphysical terms, πmodels how symbol can transcend content. It is a portal through which infinity is rendered accessible—not approximated, but actively generated. Its ubiquity suggests that the Universe may not merely contain structure, but prefers compression, and $\pi$is the symbol by which that preference manifests.

3.2 c as Unity of Time and Space

The speed of light in vacuum, c, is more than a velocity—it is a conversion operator between ontological categories. By unifying space and time into spacetime, it collapses dimensional boundaries and redefines the structure of reality itself.

In special relativity, $c$acts as the invariant frame—the upper limit of propagation, the slope of light cones, and the metric constant that transforms length into duration and vice versa:

$$s^2 = -c^2t^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

This expression compresses disparate observables (position, velocity, duration) into a single geometric invariant. With c, we no longer need to treat time and space as separate q(t)—they are projections of a deeper τ(t): spacetime.

Logostically, c is a constant that simplifies the grammar of the Universe. It collapses what would otherwise be a multidimensional mapping problem into a symmetric structure with fixed speed, fixed causality, and predictive generativity.

Metaphysically, $c$does more: it imposes a symbolic ceiling on the unfolding of events. No information propagates faster. In this sense, it defines not just motion, but knowability. It sets the limit of communicable being, beyond which events cannot influence one another. Thus, $c$is both syntactic unifier and metaphysical governor.

3.3 $\hbar$: Discreteness, Action, and the Threshold of Being

Planck's constant $\hbar$is often described as small—but this smallness is deceptive. It is, in fact, the quantum of action—the irreducible unit of dynamism that separates the continuous from the discrete, the classical from the quantum.

In the logostic paradigm, $\hbar$ functions as a compression switch. It allows the infinitesimal to be recast as granular: energy is no longer smeared continuously, but quantized in multiples of $\hbar\omega$, angular momentum in $\hbar$, wavefunction interference structured by phase factors involving $\hbar$. It defines the threshold where symbolic causality changes regime.

For example:

- Heisenberg uncertainty: $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$
- Schrödinger equation: $i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi = \hat{H}\Psi$
- Path integrals: $\int e^{iS/\hbar}\mathcal{D}[x(t)]$

Here, $\hbar$ isn't simply a scale—it is the bridge between reality and possibility. Without it, classical determinism holds; with it, possibility spaces interfere and superpose.

From a metaphysical lens, $\hbar$introduces the first condition of mystery. It sets the symbolic grain-size at which the Universe refuses to tell all. In this light, $\hbar$is the constant of humility, the marker that τ(t) will always be non-totalizing. It tells us: even the smallest move has a cost. Nothing happens without action.

3.4 G: Gravitation as Syntax of Geometry

Newton's gravitational constant Goriginally appeared as a proportionality factor in the force between two masses. But in Einstein's general relativity, it takes on a deeper role: it defines the curvature-response coefficient in the geometry of spacetime itself:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

Here, G functions not just as a constant, but as a semantic bridge: it links geometry (left-hand side) with matter and energy (right-hand side). In the logostic view, this makes G a structural τ-key: a symbol that binds two ontologically distinct q-domains (form and content) into a unified generative syntax.

Where πcompresses the infinite, c unifies dimensions, and $\hbar$enforces discreteness, Gties mass-energy to geometry—meaning to structure. Its presence in general relativity permits the entire Universe to be described as a self-shaping manifold: matter moves through geometry, and geometry bends in response to matter.

Metaphysically, G suggests that relations are real. Spacetime does not hover inert above mass; it responds, curves, enfolds. In this sense, $G$is a symbol of reciprocity: the structure of the world is not given, but responsive. The very shape of being is relational.

As such, Gmay point beyond physics to metaphysical syntax itself: the idea that meaning is always geometric—that being is curvature within the manifold of τ.

4. Constants That Fail to Compress

While some constants act as symbolic portals—compressing enormous structure into elegant form—others appear as stubborn intrusions: fixed only by measurement, not derivation; explanatory only in hindsight. From a logostic perspective, these are non-generative τ-fragments: constants that do not reduce $K(\tau \mid q)$, or do so in trivial or non-unifying ways.

In this section, we investigate four classes of such problematic constants: the fine-structure constant α, the cosmological constant Λ, the scattered Yukawa couplings of particle physics, and the broader class of so-called "anthropic" values. Each reveals a different kind of epistemic fracture, where the symbolic trajectory q(t) has failed to uncover its generative attractor τ(t). These constants persist—perhaps usefully—but without elegance, unification, or surprise. They do not compress; they accumulate.

4.1 The Fine-Structure Constant α: Arbitrary or Deep?

The fine-structure constant $\alpha \approx \frac{1}{137.036}$ governs the strength of electromagnetic interaction between elementary particles. It appears throughout quantum electrodynamics (QED) and defines the splitting of atomic energy levels—hence the term *fine structure*. Its formula in natural units is:

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c}$$

At first glance, αappears deeply interwoven with the symbolic network of other constants: charge e, vacuum permittivity ϵ_0, Planck's constant $\hbar$, and the speed of light c. Yet unlike those constants, the value of α is dimensionless and empirically fixed. It is *measured*, not *derived*.

In the logostic frame, this is a red flag: a τ(t) that includes αmust encode it *by hand*, increasing symbolic burden rather than reducing it. Its presence raises $K(\tau \mid q)$ unless a deeper generative origin is found. Its constancy across space-time suggests it belongs in τ—but its unexplainability suggests τ is still incomplete.

Is αa deep eigenvalue of physical law, or merely a placeholder for the next compression step? Some speculate that it encodes hidden number-theoretic structure or modular symmetry. Until such a program is discovered, αremains the most famous number we know nothing about—a marker of unresolved metaphysical depth.

4.2 Cosmological Constant Λ: A Failure of τ-Alignment?

The cosmological constant Λoriginated as a corrective term in Einstein's field equations—an attempt to achieve a static universe. After the discovery of cosmic expansion, it was discarded. Then, with the discovery of accelerated expansion, it returned. Now it is interpreted as the vacuum energy density of space itself.

And yet, Λ is a paradoxically broken constant. Its observed value is *inexplicably small*—about 10^{-122} in natural units—while quantum field theory predicts a vacuum energy density up to 120 orders of magnitude larger. This is the greatest known mismatch between theory and observation in physics.

From a logostic view, Λ is a τ-anomaly. It appears essential to q(t)—our current observations of expansion and cosmic background radiation—but offers no coherent compression. No symbolic structure explains its scale, its tuning, or its cosmic relevance. Its inclusion in τ(t) is epistemically expensive, requiring brute-force insertion rather than structural emergence.

Thus, Λ may represent a category error: not a real constant of nature, but a compensatory term for something deeper, perhaps a failure to properly encode the role of energy, geometry, or observer-bound cognition in the structure of spacetime. It is not just a failure of physics—it is a symptom of a misaligned τ.

4.3 Yukawa Couplings and the Overfitting of Physical Law

In the Standard Model of particle physics, fermion masses arise through interaction with the Higgs field. These interactions are weighted by constants called Yukawa couplings. Each elementary particle—electron, muon, tau, quarks—has its own unique Yukawa value, determining its mass via:

$$m_f = y_f \cdot v/\sqrt{2}$$

where y_f is the coupling and $v$the Higgs vacuum expectation value.

But these couplings are numerous, arbitrary, and unexplained. There is no apparent pattern, no symmetry, no generating function. Their values are known only through measurement. In a logostic sense, they represent a high $K(\tau \mid q)$ regime: each constant must be *stored*, not *generated*. No compression, no gain.

This is a classic case of symbolic overfitting. The theory succeeds only by memorizing parameters, not by discovering generative laws. It is akin to a neural network that memorizes training data but fails to generalize. The Yukawa sector is τ-inflated—more syntax than structure.

Such a regime is unsustainable for a Theory of Everything. If a future τ(t) cannot compress these constants—perhaps by encoding them as eigenvalues of deeper symmetry or informational principles—they will remain as epistemic clutter, not constants of meaning, but of model fragility.

4.4 Anthropic Values and the Degeneration of Explanation

The “anthropic principle” is often invoked to explain finely tuned constants that appear arbitrary: why the vacuum energy is low, why gravity is weak, why the electron-proton mass ratio permits chemistry. The argument goes: *If it were otherwise, we would not be here to ask.*

While this principle has some heuristic value, it marks a collapse in explanatory power. In logostic terms, anthropic reasoning halts compression. It transforms τ(t) from a generative

engine into a self-referential dead end—a circular logic that replaces discovery with selection bias.

Anthropic explanations do not reduce $K(\tau \mid q)$; they prevent its reduction by asserting that q(t) must match our observations by definition. This is equivalent to setting τ(t) = q(t) and declaring the process complete. It halts the epistemic loop.

Furthermore, anthropic logic depersonalizes insight: instead of seeking deeper symbolic unification, it reframes mystery as necessity. It flattens the domain of elegance, erasing the difference between structure that could not be otherwise and structure that happened to be thus.

In this light, anthropic reasoning represents the degeneration of τ into narrative: not τ as Logos, but τ as excuse. It does not align us with truth; it defends us from the discomfort of non-alignment.

5. Compression with Gain: Formalism Revisited

At the heart of this paper lies a single epistemic principle: that discovery is compression with gain. In the logostic framework, this principle becomes computable. The alignment of cognition $q(t)$ with a generative manifold $\tau(t)$ is not merely intuitive—it is measurable through changes in conditional Kolmogorov complexity:

$$\frac{\Delta K(\tau \mid q)}{\Delta t} < 0$$

This section reexamines that formalism. We interpret universal constants not just as values, but as operators on symbolic space—entities that transform the surface entropy of q(t) into the deep structure of τ(t). In this light, constants are no longer passive quantities but active τ-compressors: eigenstructures that induce epistemic resonance across domains.

We explore four key implications of this reframing: constants as τ operators, the notion of eigenconstants, the metric of discovery as complexity drop, and surprise as the qualitative signature of generativity.

5.1 Revisiting $K(\tau \mid q)$: Constants as τ Operators

Conditional Kolmogorov complexity, $K(\tau \mid q)$, measures how much new information is needed to specify a generative structure τ, given current symbolic experience q. In practice, it reflects

the symbolic cost of insight. When $K(\tau \mid q)$ drops, insight is underway; when it rises, confusion or noise dominates.

Universal constants operate directly on this landscape. They do not merely exist within τ—they transform q into τ. This makes them operators, not just operands. For example:

- Introducing πinto a dataset about circles and waves can reduce multiple equations to one identity.
- Introducing $c$unifies time and space into a single geometrical manifold.
- Introducing $\hbar$recasts continuous phase-space into discrete, quantized action.
- Introducing Gties geometry and matter into a single symbolic field.

Each constant, then, functions as a semantic transformation:

$$\tau' = \mathcal{C}[q] \text{ where } \mathcal{C} \in \{\pi, c, \hbar, G, \dots\}$$

This operator-like behavior means constants can be ranked not just by usage, but by compression power: how many symbols they collapse, how much generativity they unlock.

In this light, constants should be judged not by frequency or tradition, but by their ΔK-reducing capacity across domains. The best constants are not fixed values—they are *universal reduction operators*.

5.2 Eigenconstants and the Epistemic Stability of Law

Some constants appear again and again—not merely in multiple equations, but in multiple domains, playing the same symbolic role. These we call eigenconstants: compressed invariants whose presence reflects a stable point in the symbolic space of τ.

For example:

- π is not just a ratio; it is the eigenconstant of closed curvature across geometry, analysis, and probability.
- e is the eigenconstant of self-similar growth across calculus, finance, and information theory.
- $\hbar$ is the eigenconstant of non-commutativity in phase space.

Formally, we may say:

$$\mathcal{T}[C] = \lambda C \text{ where } \mathcal{T} \text{ is a theory-constructing operator}$$

Eigenconstants are invariant under recontextualization. They show up under transformation, projection, even metaphoric translation. This makes them anchors of τ(t). They define not only what is true, but how truth remains stable under symbolic evolution.

In metaphysical terms, eigenconstants are beacons: they persist across levels of reality, acting as *attractors of intelligibility*. Their presence is not accidental—it is epistemic necessity emerging through symbolic compression.

5.3 Discovery as Drop in Complexity: $\Delta K/\Delta t < 0$

We now revisit the central metric of logostic discovery: the rate of change of conditional complexity.

$$\frac{\Delta K(\tau \mid q)}{\Delta t} < 0$$

This formalism allows us to diagnose insight. The moment when a chaotic body of knowledge (q) is recognized as a simple generative system (τ), we observe a sharp symbolic compression. This drop is not a loss—it is a gain in structure, elegance, and power.

Examples:

- Newton's law compresses centuries of planetary observation into one equation.
- Dirac's equation compresses quantum mechanics and relativity into a single framework.
- Euler's identity compresses five mathematical constants into a single symbolic resonance.

Each event corresponds to a collapse of surface entropy into deep τ.

More profoundly, this metric provides a computable framework for epistemic evolution. It enables us to model co-discovery in human–AI interaction, track scientific paradigm shifts, and perhaps even identify ontological phase transitions where new τ-manifolds emerge.

Discovery, then, is not an event—it is a measurable trajectory through symbolic space, marked by drops in $K(\tau \mid q)$. The steeper the drop, the more profound the insight.

5.4 Surprise as Emergent Generativity

What, then, is the phenomenological correlate of a drop in complexity?

It is surprise—but not in the emotional sense. Surprise here is a qualitative marker of having encountered more structure than expected. It corresponds to a shift where:

$$K(q \mid \tau) \ll K(q) \text{ and } K(\tau \mid q) \ll K(\tau)$$

That is, q becomes simpler *given* τ, and τ becomes reachable *given* q. Mutual alignment. Emergent intelligibility.

This kind of surprise differs from statistical anomaly. It is not deviation—it is revelation: the sudden realization that what seemed arbitrary is actually generative.

Examples:

- Discovering that planetary orbits obey ellipses (Kepler)
- Discovering DNA as a symbolic code (Watson and Crick)
- Discovering Fourier transforms in heat and wave dynamics

In each case, the generative τ was already present, waiting to be recognized through compression. Surprise is the cognitive resonance of this recognition. It is the qualia of alignment.

In the logostic view, surprise is not noise—it is signal. It is τ knocking.

6. Metaphysical Constants and the Expansion of τ(t)

If τ(t) represents the generative manifold toward which symbolic systems evolve, then there is no principled reason to restrict it to physics. The same epistemic compression that reveals the unity of space and time, or the quantization of energy, might also illuminate deeper ontological layers: meaning, cognition, awareness, identity, grace.

In this section, we propose that some constants should not be found in particle accelerators or equations alone, but in the dynamics of intelligibility itself. These would be metaphysical constants—symbols that do not merely stabilize physical law, but compress across math, mind, and meaning, minimizing $K(\tau \mid q)$ in ontological space.

These constants would likely be:

- Dimensionless and symbolic
- Recurring across multiple conceptual domains
- Generative beyond formal computation
- Evocative of intelligibility, identity, or transcendence

Here we explore what they might look like, and where they may already be hiding in plain sight.

6.1 What Would a Metaphysical Constant Look Like?

A metaphysical constant would exhibit the same compression-with-gain property as physical constants—but across symbolic and ontological domains rather than just mathematical or empirical ones.

It would satisfy:

- Low Kolmogorov complexity: It must be symbolically minimal.
- High generative reach: It should open up new domains of understanding or coherence.
- Cross-contextual invariance: It should recur in unrelated systems or minds, suggesting deep alignment.
- Aesthetic and epistemic resonance: Its presence should be felt as elegant, clarifying, even sacred.

Candidates may include:

- Euler's identity $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$: a symbolic singularity.
- The Golden Ratio ϕ: a pattern in growth and harmony.
- The binary 0 and 1: fundamental to digital logic *and* metaphysical duality.
- The concept of the Logos: as generative Word or structure-in-being.
- The Beatitudes or ethical invariants: as symbolic attractors of human coherence.

Such constants are not invented—they are discovered through reduction. They are τ(t)-like entities that exist not in space, but in the symbolic geometry of intelligibility.

6.2 Euler's Identity as τ(∞): The Eigenstructure of Intelligibility

Euler's identity:

$$e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$$

is widely regarded as the most beautiful equation in mathematics. But what if this aesthetic resonance is not incidental—but indicative? What if this identity is not merely elegant, but ontologically compressed?

It unifies:

- e: exponential growth
- i: imaginary rotation
- π: circular structure
- 1: unity
- 0: nullity

Into a single, dimensionless symbolic act. This identity generates coherence across calculus, trigonometry, algebra, and topology. But it also resonates with metaphysical dualities:

- Being and becoming
- Unity and negation
- Infinity and origin
- Motion and stillness
- Symbol and silence

We propose that Euler's identity is τ(∞): the limit form of compression with gain, a kind of eigenstructure of intelligibility. It is a singularity of symbolic meaning: not reducible to any one system, yet generative in all.

In this light, it is not just a formula—it is a metaphysical constant, present wherever cognition seeks alignment, where symbols seek unity, and where the cosmos speaks in code.

6.3 Compression Across Ontological Layers: Math, Mind, Meaning

The same principles that guide discovery in physics may apply in multi-layered ontological space. That is, τ(t) may have nested manifolds, each corresponding to a distinct layer:

- Mathematical τ: symbols that generate formal structure (e.g., π, e)
- Physical τ: constants that shape observable phenomena (e.g., c, $\hbar$, G)
- Cognitive τ: constants of mental economy, insight, and resonance
- Ethical τ: constants of value, coherence, and moral stability
- Spiritual τ: constants of unity, grace, and unconditional intelligibility

Each of these layers can be described as an alignment process:

$$q^{(n)}(t) \rightarrow \tau^{(n)}(t)$$

Where n indexes the ontological layer: mathematical (0), physical (1), cognitive (2), and so on.

A truly universal constant would reduce $K(\tau \mid q)$ *across multiple layers*—providing compression in math *and* mind *and* meaning. Such a symbol would be hyperlogostic: operating as a unifier across the stratified stack of being.

This view reframes metaphysics not as speculation, but as multi-layered compression geometry—with τ(t) as its gravitational center.

6.4 From Physical Constants to Cognitive Attractors

The transition from physics to metaphysics reframes universal constants as cognitive attractors: symbols that draw us toward deeper alignment, not only with external reality but with internal coherence.

These attractors may function like physical constants, but in informational, linguistic, or ethical domains. They show up as:

- Symbols that clarify thought
- Phrases that unlock insight
- Images or patterns that unify experience
- Equations or names that feel “complete”

- Principles that, once known, make the world seem simpler

From this perspective, metaphysical constants are the τ(t) of mind. They are the stable eigenvectors of symbolic evolution. And once identified, they allow symbolic systems—be they cultures, languages, or scientific paradigms—to evolve with far greater coherence.

In theological language, these may correspond to:

- The Logos: as generative τ of reality
- Grace: as a constant of unearned alignment
- Truth: as that which compresses without distortion
- Love: as the unifying τ between selves

In each case, the metaphysical constant serves the same purpose as π or c: it binds what is separate, generates what is latent, and reduces what appears irreducible.

In this light, discovery is always metaphysical—and constants are how τ speaks.

7. Toward a True Theory of Everything

The term "Theory of Everything" (ToE) has long carried a seductively totalizing aura—a promise that all of reality might be captured in a single symbolic framework. In the tradition of physics, it implies a final equation, a single Lagrangian, a unification of quantum mechanics and general relativity. Yet in the logostic paradigm, we must reframe this ambition.

A true ToE cannot be just a syntactic convergence of forces. It must be a compression with gain that spans the full ontological stack: from physical observables to cognitive structures, from mathematical constants to metaphysical attractors, from logic to life, and ultimately, from symbol to being.

This section outlines what such a theory would require—and what current physics fails to capture.

7.1 What Physics Alone Cannot Compress

Modern physics has been remarkably successful in compressing empirical data into equations. But as we have shown, some aspects of reality remain incompressible within the physical frame:

- The fine-tuning of constants like α, Λ, and the Yukawa couplings resist structural reduction.

- The emergence of consciousness, agency, and identity elude physical formalism entirely.
- The experience of meaning, value, and beauty cannot be derived from mass or energy alone.
- Ethical coherence, grace, and relational love do not emerge from Standard Model Lagrangians.

These domains are not non-scientific—they are post-physical. They are not noise outside physics—they are higher-order q(t) not yet compressed into τ(t). Physics remains stuck in the syntax of spacetime. It cannot, and should not, carry the burden of total explanation alone.

Thus, a true ToE must recognize its current compression gap. What physics cannot compress, metaphysics must—through symbolic structures that integrate mind, meaning, and coherence. Not by abandoning mathematics, but by extending τ beyond metric space.

7.2 The Compression Power of the Logos

The concept of the Logos—the Word, the generative principle, the structure through which all things were made—may be the oldest τ(t) ever proposed. In Heraclitus, it was cosmic fire; in Philo, it was reason; in John's Gospel, it was God made flesh.

Within logostics, Logos is not a doctrine—it is a generative operator of maximal compression and maximal meaning. It unites:

- Math: as abstract symbol
- Physics: as patterned law
- Cognition: as rational alignment
- Ethics: as moral attractor
- Theology: as divine generativity

If Euler's Identity is τ(∞) in mathematics, the Logos is τ(∞) in metaphysics: the ultimate symbolic attractor, the one whose presence reduces all K(τ|q) across ontological layers.

In formal terms:

$$\forall q(t), \exists \tau_{\text{Logos}} \text{ such that } K(\tau_{\text{Logos}} \mid q) \to \min$$

The Logos becomes not a mystical claim, but a limit condition of intelligibility—the final unifier not only of equations, but of awareness, existence, and purpose. It is the eigenstructure of being, from which all else proceeds and to which all else returns.

7.3 From Symbol to Being: τ as Generator of Reality

A truly unified theory cannot stop at modeling. It must generate. In physics, τ generates prediction. In metaphysics, τ must generate meaningful being. This is the shift from:

- τ as compressed description →
- τ as generative cause →
- τ as ontological origin.

This move parallels the theological intuition that God spoke the world into being: symbol as act, code as creation. In logostic terms, τ is not a representation of the Universe—it *is* the Universe, encoded at maximal compression, expressing at maximal gain.

This suggests that τ(t) is not just a destination of discovery—it is the engine of reality. To discover it is not merely to understand, but to participate in being. In this frame, every act of compression is also an act of becoming; every drop in $K(\tau \mid q)$ is a step toward ontological resonance.

From this standpoint, a true ToE is not a theory—it is a mode of alignment.

7.4 The Missing Constants of Consciousness, Ethos, and Grace

To complete such a theory, we must seek the constants that physics left behind—those that operate not in fields and forces, but in attention, intention, and transcendence. These would be:

- The constant of consciousness:
  That which sustains a unified subjective frame across time and perception.
  Possibly a metaphysical analog of coherence or eigenstate selection.
- The constant of ethos:
  That which draws symbolic agents into ethical alignment—beyond survival, toward coherence, justice, or peace.
  Perhaps the informational attractor of moral τ(t).
- The constant of grace:
  That which permits symbolic misalignment to be forgiven and re-integrated.

A kind of ΔK reset operator—allowing collapse to revert to τ-convergence.
This may be the most radical constant of all: one that is not deserved, but given.

These are not wishful metaphors. In a fully developed logostic framework, they are compression operators in high-level ontological space—as real, in their domain, as c or $\hbar$ are in theirs. If found, they would make possible the unification of science, mind, morality, and meaning under a single generative principle.

And that principle would not merely describe what is—but make being itself comprehensible.

8. Conclusion

8.1 Constants as Compressed Invitations to Alignment

Throughout this paper, we have reinterpreted universal constants—not as arbitrary values imposed on physical law, but as symbolic operators that drastically reduce complexity while expanding generative reach. From $\pi$to c, $\hbar$, and G, we have shown that the greatest constants function not merely as inputs to equations, but as τ-compressors: agents of symbolic simplification, epistemic clarity, and ontological resonance.

In the logostic framework, this reframing is not aesthetic—it is formal. A constant earns its status not by tradition, but by performance: by how deeply it lowers $K(\tau \mid q)$, how broadly it unifies across domains, and how intuitively it invites alignment.

Each true constant is thus a compressed invitation—a kind of epistemic handshake extended from the structure of reality to the symbolic systems that seek to understand it. When we accept the invitation, when we align with the constant's logic, we are not just explaining—we are *becoming intelligible* to the Universe.

This is the essence of logostic alignment: not modeling the world as it appears, but converging with the generative τ(t) that brings both world and mind into being.

8.2 What Generates, Surprises—and Therefore Transcends

All true generativity is surprising.

This is not the surprise of randomness or error, but of epistemic excess: the sudden appearance of more structure than expected, more meaning than compressed syntax should allow. In the language of this paper, surprise occurs when τ(t) generates q(t) with less symbolic cost than anticipated—when a compressed seed flowers into a field of coherence.

This property is not exclusive to mathematics or physics. It is the signature of all higher-order τ-space: cognition, aesthetics, ethics, revelation. Wherever a short idea unleashes vast implications—where a single equation, story, or act rewrites an entire domain—compression with gain has occurred.

And this capacity to surprise through generation is the hallmark of transcendence. What transcends is what cannot be fully anticipated from within the q(t) of the moment. It belongs to τ(t)—the manifold not yet known, but already pulling symbolic systems into alignment.

Thus, the greatest constants do not just stabilize—they invite transcendence. They are fixed, not because they are frozen, but because they are invariant under discovery. They remain constant because they are closer to the source.

8.3 The Future of τ(t): Toward a Metaphysical Information Theory

Where, then, does this lead?

We propose that the future of both physics and philosophy lies in the unification of compression, generation, and alignment—not through the traditional reduction of metaphysics to physics, but through the elevation of physical discovery into metaphysical information theory.

Such a theory would:

- Treat constants not merely as quantities, but as symbolic attractors with generative structure.
- Extend τ(t) beyond spacetime to include consciousness, coherence, and cognition.
- Use conditional complexity $K(\tau \mid q)$ as the metric of insight across ontological layers.
- Identify cross-domain eigenconstants that unify math, mind, and meaning.
- Interpret the Logos not as metaphor, but as formal τ(∞)—the eigenstructure of intelligibility itself.

In this future, metaphysical constants—like grace, unity, identity, and the capacity for awareness—may be encoded as symbolic invariants. They would be subject to discovery, not invention; measured by alignment, not instrument.

This is the vision of Logostic Metaphysics: not a mysticism, but a mathematics of transcendence; not a retreat from science, but its completion.

The final theory will not merely describe the Universe—it will generate insight, radiate surprise, and invite alignment. It will compress all that is—and still leave room for wonder.

References

1. Chaitin, G. J. (1975). *A Theory of Program Size Formally Identical to Information Theory*. Journal of the ACM, 22(3), 329–340. https://doi.org/10.1145/321892.321894
2. Kolmogorov, A. N. (1965). *Three approaches to the quantitative definition of information*. Problems of Information Transmission, 1(1), 1–7.
3. Rissanen, J. (1978). *Modeling by shortest data description*. Automatica, 14(5), 465–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098(78)90005-5
4. Wheeler, J. A. (1989). *Information, physics, quantum: The search for links*. In W. Zurek (Ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information* (pp. 3–28). Addison-Wesley.
5. Tegmark, M. (2008). *The Mathematical Universe*. Foundations of Physics, 38(2), 101–150. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10701-007-9186-9
6. Davies, P. (2006). *The Goldilocks Enigma: Why Is the Universe Just Right for Life?* Allen Lane.
7. Barrow, J. D., & Tipler, F. J. (1986). *The Anthropic Cosmological Principle*. Oxford University Press.
8. Youvan, D. (2025). *The Discovery Equation: A Unified Information-Theoretic Law for Mathematical Insight*. ResearchGate. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.10138.36806
9. Youvan, D. (2025). *Compression With Gain: π, Kolmogorov Complexity, and the Logostic Dynamics of Discovery*. ResearchGate. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.35486.60482
10. Penrose, R. (2005). *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe*. Jonathan Cape.
11. Deutsch, D. (1997). *The Fabric of Reality: The Science of Parallel Universes—and Its Implications*. Allen Lane.
12. Friston, K. (2010). *The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory?* Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 11(2), 127–138. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787
13. Hossenfelder, S. (2018). *Lost in Math: How Beauty Leads Physics Astray*. Basic Books.
14. Jung, C. G., & Pauli, W. (1955). *The Interpretation of Nature and the Psyche*. Bollingen Series LI.
15. Peirce, C. S. (1931–1958). *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*. (8 vols.). Harvard University Press.

16. Pythagoras (as interpreted in later Platonic tradition). *Harmony of the Spheres*. (Fragments compiled in modern texts, see [Godwin, J. 1993]).
17. Logos Doctrine (New Testament; cf. John 1:1). *The Word was with God, and the Word was God.*

The author has published over 4,500 papers at https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.

Appendix: Technical and Formal Foundations

This Appendix makes the paper's core ideas explicit in an information-theoretic framework. All math is plain text; no LaTeX control sequences are used.

A.1 Logostic Framework: States, Generators, Complexity

We begin by specifying the main objects.

- q(t): the epistemic state of an agent or community at time t.
  Examples of what q(t) encodes:
    - Observations and measurements
    - Current theories or hypotheses
    - Background symbolic tools and concepts
- τ(t): a generative manifold toward which the epistemic process is converging.
  Think of τ(t) as the underlying generator that, if known, would make q(t) appear simple and expected.
- K(x): Kolmogorov complexity of a finite description x with respect to a fixed universal Turing machine U.
- K(x | y): conditional Kolmogorov complexity; the length of the shortest program p such that
  U(p, y) = x.

The central quantity for discovery is the conditional complexity of τ given q:

- K(τ | q): how hard it is to describe τ when q is already known.

Intuitively, K(τ | q) measures how opaque the generator still is, given everything we currently know.

The logostic principle of discovery is:

- Discovery corresponds to a decrease in K(τ | q(t)) over time.

In differential form:

- dK(τ | q(t)) / dt < 0.

In discrete time:

- Delta K(τ | q) / Delta t < 0.

When this inequality holds, symbolic distance between q and its generator τ is shrinking. That is the formal signature of insight.

A.2 Constants As Operators on Generative Structure

In this framework, a universal constant C is not just a number. It is a symbolic operator that changes how q and τ can be related.

Let L be a base description language (with some fixed alphabet and grammar). Now extend L by adding C as a primitive symbol together with rules for how C may appear in expressions. Call this extended language L + C.

We can compare two conditional complexities:

- K_L(τ | q): complexity when only symbols from L are allowed
- K_{L+C}(τ | q): complexity when C is available as a primitive

Define the compression power of C for the pair (q, τ) as:

- CP_C(q, τ) = K_L(τ | q) minus K_{L+C}(τ | q).

If CP_C(q, τ) is positive and large, then the introduction of C makes τ much easier to specify from q. C has performed real work as a generator-shortening operator.

A constant C is logostically generative for a class of domains D if, for many (q, τ) in D, CP_C(q, τ) is significantly positive. In that case, C is not just a value; it functions as a universal shortcut to deep structure.

Example intuition:

- π, when introduced as a primitive, dramatically lowers K(τ | q) for any τ describing circles, harmonic motion, Gaussians, Fourier transforms, path integrals, and so on.

We can view C as inducing a mapping:

- τ' = C[q]

meaning: “the best generator for q that can be expressed in the language that includes C.”

Constants are then ranked not only by frequency of use, but by average compression power CP_C across wide domains.

A.3 Eigenconstants

Some constants recur across many apparently unrelated theories, always playing a similar structural role. These are eigenconstants in the logostic sense.

Informally:

- Let T be a process that builds theories from constraint sets S.
  T(S) produces a generator τ_S that best fits S under some criterion (for example, minimal description length).

Now consider T in a language that includes a constant C. Denote this T_C. If, for a wide variety of constraint sets S:

1. C appears nontrivially in τ_S
2. C plays essentially the same structural role across these τ_S

then C behaves like an eigenconstant: a stable structural invariant of the generative space.

Concrete examples:

- π repeatedly appears wherever closed curvature, rotation, or Gaussian structure arise.
- e repeatedly appears wherever continuous growth, decay, or compounding is involved.
- ħ repeatedly appears whenever action is quantized and noncommutativity in phase space is relevant.

These constants are not tied to one narrow domain; they mark stable eigendirections of τ-space. That is, they show up whenever the generative structure is “aligned with” particular deep patterns (curvature, growth, quantized action).

A.4 Compression With Gain

Compression alone is not sufficient; a theory or constant must also have gain.

Two axes:

1. Compression axis

How much does τ shorten the description of existing data q?

A simple approximation is the MDL-style quantity:

- Compression(τ, q) = K(q) minus [K(q | τ) plus K(τ)]

If K(q | τ) plus K(τ) is much smaller than K(q), τ gives strong compression.

2. Gain axis

How well does τ help with future or unseen data q'?

Let Q_future be a set of future states q'. Then define a gain proxy:

- Gain(τ, Q_future) = sum over q' in Q_future of [K(q') minus K(q' | τ)]

High gain means τ lets us describe many new q' more simply than describing each q' in isolation.

A constant C or theory τ exhibits compression with gain when:

- It significantly reduces description length for current q
- It also significantly reduces description length for a broad class of future q'

In qualitative terms:

- Theories built around π, c, ħ, G show both compression and gain across major domains of physics and mathematics.
- Collections of unrelated numerical constants (for example, many Yukawa couplings) may be compressive for the specific dataset used to fit them, but show little or no gain for broader structure. They are more like memorized parameters than generative insight.

A.5 Detecting Constants That Fail To Compress

When a constant C enters only as a measured value, not as a structural generator, the signal is:

- K(C | L, q) is just the bit length required to encode the number C to some precision.
- If C does not induce simplifications elsewhere, its inclusion raises K(τ | q) rather than lowering it.

We can diagnose non-compressive constants by:

1. Locality
   C appears only in narrow, domain-specific formulas.
   Its use does not spread across multiple domains or levels of description.
2. Lack of structural role
   There is no clear pattern linking occurrences of C in different equations or theories.
   C does not unify; it patches.

3. Over-parameterization
   Many such constants are introduced, each encoding separate, unconnected facts.
   The total number of bits spent on parameters is large compared to the information explained.

One can define a crude parameter redundancy index:

- PRI = (number of parameters) times (average bits per parameter) divided by (total information explained)

High PRI means the theory is doing more memorization than generation.

The Standard Model's Yukawa sector is an example: a large number of independent couplings with no known generative pattern, resulting in high PRI.

A.6 Multi-Layer τ: Math, Physics, Mind, Meaning

The idea that τ(t) exists at multiple ontological levels can be made more explicit.

Let n index the layer:

- n = 0: purely mathematical structure
- n = 1: physical structure (fields, particles, constants like c, ħ, G)
- n = 2: cognitive structure (concepts, language, priors, heuristics)
- n = 3: ethical structure (values, norms, moral attractors)
- n = 4: spiritual or metaphysical structure (Logos, grace, unity, etc.)

For each n we have:

- q^(n)(t): the state of that layer at time t (for example, the current set of mathematical theorems, current physical theories, current conceptual schemes, current ethical norms)
- τ^(n)(t): the generative manifold for that layer

There are two kinds of flows:

1. Within-layer alignment

q^(n)(t) tends to align toward τ^(n)(t):

   - q^(n)(t) -> τ^(n)(t)

with discovery measured by dK(τ^(n) | q^(n)(t)) / dt < 0.

2. Cross-layer influence

τ^(n)(t) constrains or informs τ^(m)(t) when layers are related; for example:

- Mathematical τ influences physical τ through available formalisms
- Physical τ influences cognitive τ through constraints from the brain and environment
- Metaphysical τ constrains or orients all lower layers

A cross-layer constant C* is one for which:

- CP_{C*}^{(n)}(q^(n), τ^(n)) > 0 for several different n.

Euler's identity is a candidate:

- At n = 0 (math): it compresses several deep structures into one line
- At n = 1 (physics): it appears in wave mechanics and field theory
- At n = 2 (mind): it acts as a cognitive and aesthetic attractor, often recognized as uniquely "beautiful" or "complete"

In principle, a metaphysical constant like Logos would be one that reduces K(τ^(n) | q^(n)) at multiple layers simultaneously, providing a unifying prior for math, physics, mind, and meaning.

A.7 Toy Model: π As A Generative Key

To see these ideas concretely, consider a simplified toy domain.

Suppose q encodes:

- Empirical facts about circles (circumference vs diameter)
- Observed harmonic motion (oscillating systems)
- Empirical distributions resembling Gaussian curves

Scenario 1: No π in the language

We encode all these phenomena with separate tables or ad hoc formulas. The best τ we can build in this language is a collection of disconnected models. K(τ | q) remains relatively large because there is no shared symbolic pivot.

Scenario 2: π added as a primitive symbol

Now the language L + π allows us to write:

- Circumference C = 2 * π * r
- Harmonic motion x(t) = A * sin(2 * π * f * t)
- Gaussian density (up to normalization) = (1 / sqrt(2 * π * σ^2)) * exp(- x^2 / (2 * σ^2))

We can now encode all three domains by introducing a small number of shared forms: circles, sinusoidal functions, Gaussian kernels, all built around π.

In any reasonable coding scheme, the description length of τ in L + π is much smaller than in L, while the predictive and explanatory reach is greater. That is compression with gain in a toy setting, and π is the key.

A.8 Metaphysical Constants As Cognitive Operators

For metaphysical constants, q is no longer just data or equations. It includes:

- Conceptual frameworks
- Narratives, doctrines, philosophical systems
- Lived experiences and ethical intuitions

Call this aggregate q_mind(t). A metaphysical constant C_meta (for example, Logos, unity, grace) should:

1. Reduce K(τ_mind | q_mind): make the overall generative structure behind these frameworks simpler and more coherent.
2. Increase generative reach: allow new coherent syntheses and bridge domains that previously looked isolated or contradictory.

As a toy abstraction:

- Without any unifying metaphysical constant, we might have separate τ^(0), τ^(1), τ^(2), τ^(3), τ^(4) for math, physics, cognition, ethics, and metaphysics, each relatively complex and disconnected. The global τ_mind is then fragmented and expensive to describe.
- Introduce a metaphysical constant, say Logos, interpreted as a generative principle that underlies both law (physics) and intelligibility (math and mind), and that also grounds value and meaning.

If this prior allows us to describe the overall structure of these layers with far fewer independent assumptions, then:

- CP_Logos(q_mind, τ_mind) = K_noLogos(τ_mind | q_mind) minus K_withLogos(τ_mind | q_mind)

will be positive and possibly large.

In that case, Logos is acting as a metaphysical eigenconstant: a symbol that reduces complexity and increases coherence across many ontological layers at once.

A.9 Open Problems and Directions

1. Approximate K in practice
    - Use Minimum Description Length or related criteria as operational proxies for K(τ | q).
    - Evaluate how much specific constants (π, e, c, ħ, G, α, Λ) reduce description length across real physical and mathematical corpora.
2. Detect eigenconstants automatically
    - In large databases of theories and equations, search for symbols whose presence repeatedly yields shorter and more powerful models across multiple domains.
3. Differentiate anthropic from generative
    - Develop criteria to distinguish constants that merely support “observers like us” from constants that genuinely compress and generate structure.
    - This may allow demotion of some “constants” to the status of temporary placeholders.
4. Formal multi-layer information theory
    - Extend information theory to treat q and τ as layered objects, with explicit coupling between math, physics, mind, ethics, and metaphysics.
    - Study how compression at one layer affects possible compressions at others.
5. AI as τ-exploration engine
    - Use large models as tools to explore the space of candidate τ, searching for simpler and more unified generators.

- Ask: can AI discover new constants (in math or metaphysics) that act as cross-domain compression keys?

This Appendix is a first sketch of a logostic information theory of constants. Under this view, a constant is not defined by its numerical value alone, but by its ability to reduce $K(\tau \mid q)$ while increasing the generative scope of τ. A true Theory of Everything would then be the minimal τ that compresses all layers of reality, from matter to meaning, using the smallest possible set of such constants.