Networks of Influence: Comparing Guanxi and Diaspora Advocacy in Cross-Cultural Contexts

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

January 13, 2025

In an increasingly interconnected world, organizations must adapt their strategies to navigate diverse political and cultural landscapes. This is especially true for diaspora organizations that operate across borders, balancing their missions with the complex realities of host countries. This paper explores how influence networks function in contrasting political systems by comparing *guanxi*—the deeply ingrained system of personal relationships in China—with formal diaspora advocacy models like the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) in the United States. While AIPAC leverages transparent, institutionalized lobbying within a democratic framework, organizations like Chabad-Lubavitch must rely on *guanxi* to operate discreetly in China's authoritarian environment. This comparison highlights how political systems shape the nature of influence, forcing organizations to adapt their engagement strategies. By examining Chabad's strategic use of *guanxi* to sustain its religious and cultural activities in China, this paper sheds light on the broader implications of informal influence networks and their role in diaspora advocacy. Understanding these dynamics offers valuable insights into how organizations maintain resilience, navigate risks, and foster community support in restrictive and open societies alike.

Keywords: guanxi, diaspora advocacy, Chabad-Lubavitch, AIPAC, informal influence networks, authoritarian regimes, democratic systems, soft power, religious diplomacy, political adaptation, cross-cultural strategy, community resilience, China, United States, global diaspora organizations. 35 pages.

Note: Verbatim GPT-4o. Not the author's POV.

Abstract

This paper explores the interplay between informal influence networks and formal advocacy models, focusing on the cultural phenomenon of *guanxi* in China and the structured lobbying strategies exemplified by AIPAC in the United States. In authoritarian systems like China, where formal advocacy is restricted, *guanxi*—a deeply rooted social practice of cultivating personal relationships—offers a potential alternative for organizations to navigate complex political and social environments.

The analysis centers on the Chabad movement's operations in China, hypothesizing that it leverages *guanxi* as a functional substitute for formal lobbying. While AIPAC represents a highly visible, regulated approach to diaspora advocacy within a democratic framework, *guanxi* operates subtly and informally, reflecting the cultural and political realities of China's system. The paper examines how Chabad may adapt its global mission to fit within these constraints, building relationships with local authorities, business leaders, and international networks to sustain its activities.

Through this comparative framework, we aim to uncover the nuances of influence networks in contrasting political systems, highlighting the adaptability required of diaspora organizations like Chabad. This study also considers the risks and ethical implications of operating within opaque, informal systems versus transparent, formal ones. By linking the concepts of *guanxi* and diaspora advocacy, this paper contributes to a deeper understanding of cross-cultural strategies for organizational survival and influence in diverse geopolitical landscapes.

1. Introduction

In every society, networks of influence play a crucial role in shaping political, economic, and social outcomes. Whether through formal lobbying in democratic nations or informal relationships in more authoritarian contexts, organizations must navigate complex systems of power to sustain their operations and achieve their objectives. The mechanisms of influence vary significantly across political systems, reflecting differing cultural norms, legal frameworks, and political structures. In democratic societies like the United States, formal advocacy groups

operate openly within established legal parameters to influence policy and public opinion. In contrast, authoritarian regimes such as China often limit or prohibit overt advocacy, pushing organizations to rely on informal networks to achieve similar ends.

This paper examines the intersection of informal and formal influence by comparing two distinct models: *guanxi*, the Chinese system of cultivating personal and professional relationships for mutual benefit, and the formal lobbying strategies employed by the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) in the United States. AIPAC serves as a prominent example of structured diaspora advocacy, using legal lobbying, fundraising, and public campaigns to influence U.S. foreign policy in support of Israel. In contrast, *guanxi* operates through personal trust, reciprocal favors, and social networks, reflecting China's cultural and political environment where formal lobbying is restricted or outright prohibited.

The focal point of this analysis is the Chabad-Lubavitch movement's presence in China. Chabad, a global Jewish outreach organization, is known for its adaptability in serving Jewish communities worldwide, often in politically and culturally sensitive environments. In China, where religious organizations face strict regulations and foreign entities are viewed with caution, Chabad must navigate a delicate landscape. This paper posits that Chabad may engage in forms of *guanxi*—intentionally or incidentally—to build relationships with local authorities, business leaders, and international networks, thereby securing operational stability and community support. In doing so, Chabad's strategy may parallel, in function if not in form, the advocacy efforts of organizations like AIPAC in democratic settings.

Understanding how organizations adapt their influence strategies across different political systems is critical for several reasons. First, it sheds light on the resilience and flexibility of diaspora organizations that must operate within diverse legal and cultural frameworks. Second, it reveals how informal power dynamics, such as *guanxi*, can serve as alternatives to formal lobbying in restrictive environments. Finally, it contributes to broader discussions on soft power, religious diplomacy, and the ethics of navigating influence in authoritarian regimes.

By comparing Chabad's potential use of *guanxi* in China to AIPAC's formal lobbying in the U.S., this paper seeks to deepen our understanding of how

organizations strategically adapt to varying political landscapes. This comparative analysis not only highlights the versatility of influence networks but also raises important questions about the balance between cultural adaptation and organizational integrity in cross-cultural contexts.

2. Understanding *Guanxi*: Social Networks in Chinese Society

Definition and Historical Roots of *Guanxi*

Guanxi (关系) is a fundamental concept in Chinese society, encompassing the complex web of personal relationships and social networks that facilitate mutual benefit and cooperation. At its core, *guanxi* involves the cultivation of trust, loyalty, and reciprocity between individuals and organizations. Unlike Western concepts of networking, which often prioritize professional efficiency and transactional exchanges, *guanxi* is deeply embedded in cultural norms that emphasize long-term relationship building, personal obligation, and social harmony.

Historically, *guanxi* can be traced back to Confucian principles that prioritize hierarchical social relationships and mutual responsibilities within family and community structures. Confucianism's emphasis on *renqing* (human feelings) and *mianzi* (face or reputation) reinforces the importance of maintaining harmonious social ties through gestures of goodwill, favors, and reciprocity. Over centuries, these values evolved into a sophisticated social system where personal relationships often supersede formal rules and procedures in facilitating business dealings, conflict resolution, and access to resources.

During the imperial era, bureaucratic systems were often inefficient or corrupt, making *guanxi* essential for navigating officialdom and gaining access to state resources. Even in the socialist period, particularly under Mao Zedong, personal networks persisted informally as a means to navigate the rigid state apparatus. In modern China, despite sweeping legal reforms and the emergence of global business practices, *guanxi* remains deeply ingrained in both social and professional interactions.

Cultural and Political Importance of *Guanxi* in China

In contemporary China, *guanxi* permeates nearly every facet of life, from personal relationships to business transactions and interactions with government authorities. It operates as a parallel system of influence that coexists with formal institutions but often holds greater sway in decision-making processes. In business, cultivating *guanxi* with suppliers, partners, and regulators can provide access to opportunities and protection against bureaucratic obstacles. In governance, relationships with local officials can significantly impact an organization's ability to secure permits, navigate regulations, and avoid legal complications.

Politically, *guanxi* serves as an informal mechanism of power distribution, often blurring the lines between personal and professional spheres. This system fosters a culture where favors and obligations can influence policy implementation and resource allocation. In the context of foreign organizations, understanding and engaging with *guanxi* networks becomes critical, especially for those operating in sensitive sectors such as religion, media, and international non-governmental work.

The importance of *guanxi* is amplified in authoritarian systems like China, where formal avenues for advocacy and lobbying are restricted. For organizations like Chabad, which operate under strict government regulations concerning religion and foreign influence, *guanxi* may offer an essential pathway to establish operational legitimacy, maintain community activities, and avoid political scrutiny.

Mayfair Yang's Work on *Guanxi*

Anthropologist Mayfair Mei-hui Yang's seminal work, *Gifts, Favors, and Banquets: The Art of Social Relationships in China* (Cornell University Press), provides foundational insight into the complexities of *guanxi* as a social practice. Yang's research explores how *guanxi* operates as an informal economic and social system, functioning alongside and sometimes circumventing formal legal frameworks. She highlights the role of gift-giving, banquets, and reciprocal favors as critical mechanisms for establishing and maintaining these networks.

Yang's analysis underscores how *guanxi* is not merely about personal relationships but reflects broader socio-political structures that influence power

dynamics and resource distribution. Her work illustrates how *guanxi* serves as both a cultural norm and a strategic tool in navigating China's evolving market economy and political landscape. This understanding is particularly relevant when examining how organizations like Chabad might adapt to the Chinese context, where formal lobbying is impermissible, and informal relationships can determine operational success.

Risks and Rewards of Engaging in *Guanxi* Within China's Regulatory Environment

While engaging in *guanxi* offers significant advantages, it also carries substantial risks, especially for foreign organizations operating under China's strict regulatory environment. The rewards of successfully navigating *guanxi* include smoother interactions with authorities, expedited approvals for permits, and protection from arbitrary enforcement of regulations. For organizations like Chabad, fostering positive relationships with local officials and influential community members could enable them to maintain religious activities, organize community events, and import kosher supplies with fewer bureaucratic hurdles.

However, the risks are equally significant. China's anti-corruption campaigns, especially under President Xi Jinping, have targeted the abuse of *guanxi* networks in both the public and private sectors. Relationships that appear too transactional or that cross legal boundaries can draw the scrutiny of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and law enforcement agencies. Foreign organizations are particularly vulnerable, as they can be accused of interfering in domestic affairs or engaging in unauthorized activities. Mismanaging *guanxi* relationships could result in legal sanctions, operational shutdowns, or reputational damage.

Moreover, *guanxi* is inherently unstable because it depends on personal relationships that can shift due to political changes, individual fallouts, or broader anti-corruption efforts. The informal nature of *guanxi* also means that agreements and obligations are rarely documented, leaving organizations exposed to sudden reversals in support or cooperation.

In this context, Chabad must carefully balance the rewards of cultivating *guanxi* with the potential dangers of becoming entangled in opaque power dynamics. Strategic, culturally sensitive engagement is crucial to navigating this complex environment without compromising legal or ethical boundaries.

This section establishes a comprehensive understanding of *guanxi* as a vital, though precarious, system of influence in China. It sets the stage for analyzing how organizations like Chabad may adapt to this environment, contrasting it with formal advocacy models like AIPAC in democratic contexts.

3. Diaspora Advocacy: The AIPAC Model in the United States

Overview of AIPAC's Structure, Strategy, and Influence in U.S. Politics

The American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) is one of the most influential lobbying organizations in the United States, dedicated to strengthening and promoting the U.S.-Israel relationship. Founded in 1951, AIPAC operates as a bipartisan organization, engaging with policymakers across the political spectrum to secure support for Israel's security and strategic interests.

AIPAC's structure is highly organized and professionalized, reflecting its role as a major player in American political advocacy. The organization operates through several strategic divisions:

- **Policy and Research Teams:** Develop detailed policy proposals, talking points, and briefings to inform legislators on issues relevant to Israel.
- **Lobbyists and Political Directors:** Engage directly with members of Congress, providing them with information and encouraging support for pro-Israel legislation.
- **Regional Offices:** Facilitate local engagement and mobilize supporters across the U.S. to interact with their congressional representatives.
- **Political Action Committees (PACs) and Advocacy Arms:** While AIPAC itself does not contribute directly to political campaigns, it cultivates relationships with PACs and donors aligned with its goals, helping pro-Israel candidates secure financial and electoral support.

AIPAC's strategy is multifaceted, blending direct lobbying, educational outreach, and coalition-building. Through annual policy conferences, targeted lobbying days, and policy briefings, AIPAC ensures consistent and organized engagement

with legislators and policymakers. This professionalized model allows AIPAC to exert significant influence on U.S. foreign policy, particularly in securing military aid packages for Israel, advancing legislation related to Middle Eastern security, and shaping public narratives around U.S.-Israel relations.

Legal Frameworks for Lobbying and Advocacy in Democratic Societies

In the U.S., lobbying is a legal and regulated activity, governed primarily by the Lobbying Disclosure Act (LDA) of 1995 and related laws. These regulations require lobbying organizations to register with Congress, disclose their lobbying activities, and report expenditures related to influencing legislation. This legal framework legitimizes advocacy efforts, allowing organizations like AIPAC to operate openly and transparently within the political system.

AIPAC's activities are carefully structured to comply with these laws. It educates lawmakers, advocates for specific policies, and mobilizes supporters without directly contributing funds to political campaigns. However, AIPAC's vast network of donors and affiliated PACs legally channels financial support to candidates who align with its policy goals, amplifying its influence in Congress.

The democratic political environment in the United States allows for this formal and transparent advocacy model. Organizations can engage with lawmakers, participate in public discourse, and influence policy through institutionalized mechanisms. This legal framework contrasts sharply with authoritarian contexts like China, where advocacy is tightly controlled and informal influence, such as *guanxi*, often replaces formal lobbying.

Use of Formal Networks, Fundraising, and Grassroots Mobilization

AIPAC's strength lies not only in its policy expertise but also in its ability to mobilize vast networks of supporters. The organization employs a comprehensive strategy that combines elite access and grassroots activism to maximize its political influence.

1. **Formal Political Networks:**
   AIPAC maintains close relationships with policymakers, cultivating bipartisan support for pro-Israel policies. Through frequent meetings, policy briefings, and personal outreach, AIPAC ensures that legislators understand and prioritize Israel's security concerns.

2. **Fundraising and Donor Networks:**
   While AIPAC itself does not directly fund political campaigns, it fosters relationships with donors and encourages contributions to PACs that support pro-Israel candidates. This networked fundraising approach helps AIPAC maintain influence without violating campaign finance laws.

3. **Grassroots Mobilization:**
   AIPAC actively engages its grassroots base through advocacy campaigns, encouraging constituents to contact their representatives, attend town halls, and participate in lobbying events. Annual conferences draw thousands of participants, demonstrating widespread support for the U.S.-Israel relationship and applying direct pressure on policymakers.

4. **Educational Outreach:**
   AIPAC invests in educating the public and policymakers through seminars, publications, and speaker events. By shaping public opinion and providing legislators with comprehensive policy insights, AIPAC builds a supportive environment for its advocacy goals.

AIPAC's Role in Shaping U.S.-Israel Relations

AIPAC has played a pivotal role in fostering a strong, strategic alliance between the United States and Israel. Its efforts have contributed to the passage of key legislation, the approval of substantial military aid packages, and the promotion of Israel's security interests on the global stage.

Notable examples of AIPAC's influence include:

- **Securing U.S. Military Aid to Israel:** AIPAC has been instrumental in securing consistent and significant military aid to Israel. The 2016 Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. and Israel, providing $38 billion in security assistance over ten years, reflects AIPAC's long-term advocacy success.

- **Sanctions on Iran:** AIPAC has been a driving force behind U.S. sanctions on Iran, aiming to curb Iran's nuclear ambitions and regional influence. The organization has lobbied for stringent sanctions and policies to isolate Iran economically and diplomatically.

- **Combating BDS (Boycott, Divestment, Sanctions) Movements:** AIPAC actively lobbies against BDS initiatives, pushing for legislation that penalizes entities engaging in economic boycotts against Israel. This includes advocating for state and federal laws that counteract BDS efforts.
- **Support for the Abraham Accords:** AIPAC supported normalization agreements between Israel and several Arab states, recognizing the importance of diplomatic and economic partnerships in stabilizing the region.

Through these efforts, AIPAC has helped ensure that Israel remains a central ally in U.S. foreign policy, with bipartisan support across successive administrations. Its ability to frame Israel as a strategic partner in counterterrorism, cybersecurity, and defense has cemented this relationship.

Conclusion

AIPAC exemplifies the power of formal advocacy in a democratic system, leveraging legal lobbying, fundraising networks, and grassroots activism to shape U.S. foreign policy in favor of Israel. Its structured, transparent model operates within the legal frameworks that define political advocacy in the United States. In stark contrast to informal influence systems like *guanxi*, AIPAC's success relies on institutional legitimacy and public engagement.

Understanding how AIPAC functions in the U.S. political landscape provides a critical foundation for comparing how organizations like Chabad in China might adapt alternative influence strategies, such as *guanxi*, to navigate restrictive environments where formal advocacy is not an option.

4. Chabad's Global Role and Its Operations in China

Chabad as a Global Jewish Outreach Movement with Religious and Cultural Missions

The Chabad-Lubavitch movement is one of the most prominent and expansive Hasidic Jewish organizations in the world. Founded in the late 18th century in Eastern Europe, Chabad's core mission is rooted in Jewish outreach (*kiruv*), education, and community building. The movement is guided by the philosophy of

the late Rebbe, Rabbi Menachem Mendel Schneerson, who emphasized the importance of reaching every Jew, regardless of their level of religious observance, and fostering Jewish identity through education, spiritual guidance, and cultural connection.

Globally, Chabad operates thousands of centers—known as Chabad Houses—in over 100 countries. These centers serve as hubs for Jewish life, offering religious services, holiday celebrations, Torah study, youth programs, and kosher food access. Importantly, Chabad's outreach extends beyond traditional Jewish communities, reaching isolated Jewish individuals and small diasporas in remote or politically sensitive regions. Unlike many Jewish organizations, Chabad is known for establishing presences in countries with small or transient Jewish populations, driven by its mission to serve every Jew, anywhere in the world.

Chabad operates on a model of self-sufficiency and localized leadership, with emissary couples (*shluchim*) relocating to foreign communities to establish and lead centers. These emissaries engage in grassroots efforts to build relationships, secure funding, and adapt their outreach to local cultural norms. This adaptability and resilience have enabled Chabad to thrive in diverse environments, from the United States and Europe to regions with limited religious freedom.

Challenges of Operating in China: Legal, Political, and Cultural Constraints

Operating in China presents a unique and challenging environment for foreign religious organizations due to the country's restrictive legal and political climate. The Chinese government, under the Chinese Communist Party (CCP), maintains strict control over religious practices, foreign organizations, and cultural activities. The government officially recognizes only five religious groups—Buddhism, Taoism, Islam, Protestant Christianity, and Catholicism—each regulated under state-approved associations. Judaism is not among these recognized religions, which poses significant operational challenges for Jewish organizations like Chabad.

Legal Constraints:

- **Religious Regulation:** Foreign religious organizations are prohibited from proselytizing or engaging in public religious activities without state approval. Chabad must carefully navigate these laws, ensuring their

activities are framed as cultural or community-based rather than religious outreach.

- **Registration and Permits:** Chabad cannot formally register as a religious institution in China. This forces them to operate unofficially or under the guise of cultural organizations, limiting their ability to expand or advertise publicly.
- **Surveillance and Oversight:** Foreign entities in China are subject to surveillance, and religious gatherings may attract the attention of authorities. Chabad must balance community engagement with the need for discretion.

Political Constraints:

- **Foreign Influence Sensitivity:** The CCP is wary of foreign organizations that could potentially influence Chinese society or politics. Given Chabad's global ties, including indirect associations with Israel, Chabad must avoid any appearance of political alignment or advocacy.
- **Geopolitical Tensions:** China's complex relationships with countries like Israel and Iran require Chabad to be cautious in how they engage with international communities, avoiding actions that could be perceived as political or ideological.

Cultural Constraints:

- **Limited Awareness of Judaism:** The general Chinese population has limited exposure to Jewish history, culture, and religious practices. This cultural gap necessitates careful framing of Chabad's activities to foster understanding without attracting suspicion.
- **Language and Cultural Barriers:** Chabad emissaries must overcome language barriers and adapt to Chinese cultural norms to build meaningful connections with both Jewish expatriates and local authorities.

Chabad's Methods of Community Engagement in China

Despite these challenges, Chabad has established successful operations in major Chinese cities, including Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, and Hong Kong. These centers primarily serve Jewish expatriates, business professionals, diplomats, and travelers, offering essential religious and cultural services while carefully navigating the legal and political landscape.

Key Strategies for Community Engagement:

1. **Cultural Framing of Religious Activities:**
   To comply with Chinese regulations, Chabad often presents its gatherings and programs as cultural events rather than overtly religious services. Holiday celebrations like Passover Seders, Hanukkah menorah lightings, and Purim parties are framed as cultural heritage events, emphasizing tradition and community rather than religious observance. This framing allows Chabad to operate within legal boundaries while still fulfilling its mission.

2. **Discretion in Religious Services:**
   Chabad offers Shabbat services, Torah classes, and kosher meals in private settings, often within Chabad Houses or private venues. Invitations are typically extended discreetly through personal networks rather than public advertising, minimizing the risk of government scrutiny.

3. **Building *Guanxi* with Local Authorities:**
   Recognizing the importance of relationships in China, Chabad likely engages in forms of *guanxi* to build trust with local officials and community leaders. This could involve participating in community events, collaborating on cultural initiatives, or fostering personal relationships that help smooth bureaucratic interactions. These relationships may provide informal protection and operational flexibility.

4. **Serving Business and Diplomatic Communities:**
   Chabad's presence in economically significant cities aligns with the needs of Jewish business professionals and diplomats. By providing kosher catering, prayer services, and holiday observances, Chabad supports Jewish travelers and expatriates, integrating into the international business community without crossing into proselytizing or public religious activity.

5. **Kosher Food and Supply Chains:**
   Chabad plays a critical role in importing and distributing kosher food products, navigating complex supply chain regulations in China. Establishing relationships with suppliers, customs officials, and logistics providers likely involves leveraging *guanxi* to ensure smooth operations.

6. **Educational and Youth Programs:**
   While limited, Chabad offers informal educational programs for Jewish children and families, focusing on Jewish history and cultural identity. These programs are designed to avoid any appearance of formal religious schooling, which could violate Chinese regulations on education.

7. **Adaptability and Low-Profile Operations:**
   Chabad's emissaries are adept at adjusting their strategies based on the political climate. During periods of heightened scrutiny, activities may become more private, while more favorable conditions might allow for expanded community engagement.

Conclusion

Chabad's global mission to serve Jewish communities necessitates remarkable adaptability, especially in restrictive environments like China. Facing significant legal, political, and cultural constraints, Chabad has successfully implemented strategies that allow it to provide religious and cultural services without violating Chinese laws. By carefully framing its activities as cultural engagement, fostering discreet relationships through *guanxi*, and maintaining a low profile, Chabad has built resilient communities in major Chinese cities.

This model of engagement illustrates how diaspora organizations can adjust their operations to align with local regulations while preserving their core missions. Chabad's experience in China serves as a compelling case study of how informal influence and cultural sensitivity can substitute for formal advocacy models, offering a unique comparison to structured lobbying efforts like those of AIPAC in democratic systems.

5. *Guanxi* as a Functional Substitute for Diaspora Advocacy in China

How Chabad in China Might Leverage *Guanxi* to Navigate Political and Social Environments

In the absence of legal avenues for formal advocacy in China, Chabad's continued presence and success likely depend on its ability to cultivate and maintain strategic relationships within Chinese social and political networks. This adaptation aligns closely with the traditional Chinese practice of *guanxi*, where personal relationships and reciprocal obligations serve as powerful tools for navigating bureaucratic and social systems. For Chabad, leveraging *guanxi* offers a culturally appropriate and effective means of securing operational stability and protecting its community within the constraints of China's authoritarian regime.

Key Ways Chabad May Utilize *Guanxi*:

1. **Establishing Trust with Local Authorities:**
   Chabad likely focuses on building personal relationships with local officials and regulators. This may involve participating in officially sanctioned cultural events, attending community gatherings, or extending invitations to low-profile Chabad-hosted cultural activities. By cultivating trust and goodwill, Chabad can reduce the likelihood of regulatory scrutiny and establish informal channels for addressing potential bureaucratic obstacles.

2. **Engaging with Business Elites and Community Leaders:**
   Chabad's positioning in major economic hubs like Shanghai, Beijing, and Guangzhou allows it to interact with influential members of the international and local business communities. Developing relationships with business elites—both Jewish and non-Jewish—can provide financial support, operational protection, and valuable introductions to key local stakeholders. These relationships, grounded in *guanxi*, enable Chabad to sustain its activities and gain informal endorsements within the business sector.

3. **Facilitating Access to Resources and Logistics:**
   Importing kosher food products and religious materials into China requires navigating complex customs regulations. Building *guanxi* with customs officials, suppliers, and logistics providers can help Chabad minimize delays,

avoid excessive inspections, and ensure a steady supply of kosher goods. This informal influence reduces operational friction while keeping Chabad compliant with legal requirements.

4. **Cooperating on Cultural Exchange Initiatives:**
   Chabad may participate in or sponsor cultural exchange programs that align with China's emphasis on international cultural diplomacy. By framing Jewish festivals or events as cultural rather than religious, Chabad can collaborate with local cultural organizations and educational institutions. These partnerships foster goodwill and demonstrate Chabad's commitment to cultural harmony, reducing suspicion from authorities.

5. **Community Discretion and Loyalty:**
   By fostering strong, trust-based relationships within the Jewish expatriate and business community, Chabad can rely on discreet word-of-mouth networks to invite participants to events and services. This approach reduces the need for public advertising, which could attract unwanted attention, while reinforcing loyalty among community members.

Comparison of Informal *Guanxi* Networks with Formal Advocacy Strategies Like AIPAC

While both *guanxi* and formal advocacy aim to influence outcomes and secure support, they differ fundamentally in their structure, legality, and visibility. Chabad's potential use of *guanxi* in China contrasts sharply with AIPAC's formal advocacy in the United States.

Aspect	Guanxi (Chabad in China)	Formal Advocacy (AIPAC in the U.S.)
Structure	Informal, personal, relationship-based networks	Formal, structured, and institutionalized
Legal Status	Operates in legal gray areas, culturally accepted	Fully legal and regulated under lobbying laws
Visibility	Discreet, private engagements	Public, visible lobbying campaigns and advocacy
Methods of Influence	Personal trust, reciprocal favors, social harmony	Direct lobbying, public campaigns, fundraising
Risk Factors	Legal ambiguity, risk of political scrutiny	Reputational risk, but within legal frameworks
Cultural Adaptation	Deeply integrated into local cultural norms	Operates within formal democratic systems
Resource Access	Gained through informal trust networks	Access secured through political and legal channels
Target Audience	Local officials, business elites, community members	Lawmakers, policymakers, and the public

Chabad's engagement through *guanxi* is inherently more fragile than AIPAC's formal advocacy. *Guanxi* depends on individual relationships that can shift due to political changes or personal disputes. In contrast, AIPAC's influence is institutionalized, sustained by established legal and political mechanisms. However, *guanxi* provides Chabad with the flexibility to adapt to China's political sensitivities, whereas formal lobbying would be impossible.

Case Studies or Examples of Chabad's Interactions with Local Authorities and Business Communities in China

Though specific examples of Chabad's use of *guanxi* are not publicly documented due to the discreet nature of these relationships, plausible scenarios demonstrate how Chabad might engage with China's political and social landscape:

1. **Negotiating Event Permissions in Shanghai:**
   Chabad in Shanghai reportedly hosts Passover Seders attended by hundreds of Jewish expatriates and business travelers. Securing a venue for such a large gathering likely requires informal coordination with local authorities to avoid regulatory obstacles. Chabad may engage local officials

through personal introductions facilitated by business leaders or diplomats, ensuring that events are viewed as cultural rather than religious.

2. **Facilitating Kosher Imports:**
   In major Chinese cities, Chabad ensures the availability of kosher food for the Jewish community. Importing these products often involves navigating customs regulations. Establishing trust with customs officials—perhaps through introductions by well-connected Jewish businesspeople—could ease bureaucratic hurdles, ensuring timely deliveries without drawing suspicion.

3. **Supporting Business Travelers and Diplomats:**
   Chabad regularly interacts with Jewish business travelers and diplomats who seek religious services, kosher meals, and cultural events. These interactions may position Chabad as a bridge between international business communities and local authorities. By fostering relationships with influential expatriates, Chabad could indirectly build goodwill with Chinese officials, enhancing its operational security.

4. **Participation in Cultural Events:**
   Chabad centers may co-host or participate in cultural festivals that align with state-approved international cultural exchanges. By working with local cultural organizations and officials, Chabad can demonstrate alignment with China's goals of fostering global cultural cooperation, thus solidifying informal partnerships.

5. **Real Estate and Venue Security:**
   Securing safe and reliable locations for Chabad Houses and events often requires collaboration with landlords, property managers, and local security agencies. Building trust with these stakeholders through personal relationships can ensure Chabad's physical spaces are secure and compliant with local regulations.

Conclusion

In China's restrictive political environment, *guanxi* serves as a functional substitute for formal diaspora advocacy, offering Chabad a culturally appropriate means to navigate legal, political, and social complexities. While AIPAC leverages

formal legal channels and public advocacy to influence policy in the United States, Chabad must rely on informal, trust-based networks to maintain its operations in China. Through discreet relationship-building with local officials, business elites, and community leaders, Chabad secures the operational flexibility necessary to fulfill its mission without violating Chinese laws.

This reliance on *guanxi* underscores the importance of cultural adaptability for diaspora organizations operating in authoritarian regimes. It also raises important questions about the sustainability and ethical dimensions of informal influence networks, especially when contrasted with formal, regulated advocacy models like AIPAC. Understanding how Chabad navigates these dynamics provides valuable insights into the broader strategies employed by diaspora organizations in complex geopolitical environments.

6. Risks and Limitations of *Guanxi* in Authoritarian Systems

While *guanxi* offers organizations like Chabad a strategic method for navigating China's complex political and social environment, it is fraught with significant legal, political, and operational risks. In authoritarian systems like China's, where the government maintains tight control over foreign entities and religious activities, reliance on informal networks introduces a range of vulnerabilities. These challenges are further amplified by the opacity of *guanxi* compared to the transparency of formal lobbying mechanisms in democratic societies. Understanding these risks is critical for assessing the sustainability and ethical implications of using *guanxi* as a substitute for formal advocacy.

Legal and Political Risks of Relying on Informal Networks in China

1. **Ambiguity of Legal Boundaries:**
   Although *guanxi* is culturally embedded in Chinese society, it operates in a legal gray area. The Chinese government has intensified efforts to crack down on corruption and influence peddling, especially under President Xi Jinping's anti-corruption campaigns. Relationships that once offered protection and favor can suddenly be deemed illegal if authorities perceive them as corrupt or politically subversive. For foreign organizations like

Chabad, even innocuous interactions with officials could be misinterpreted as bribery or illicit influence.

2. **Exposure to Arbitrary Enforcement:**
   China's legal system allows for selective and opaque enforcement of laws, particularly against foreign organizations. If political circumstances change or if Chabad's relationships with local officials are viewed as problematic, authorities could apply existing laws to restrict or shut down operations. Relying on informal relationships means Chabad's operational security is contingent upon the goodwill of individuals whose standing with the state may change without warning.

3. **Risk of Political Repercussions:**
   China's geopolitical dynamics, especially its relationships with countries like Iran and Israel, can indirectly impact how Chabad is perceived. If China's diplomatic posture shifts unfavorably toward Israel or the Jewish diaspora, Chabad could face heightened scrutiny. Relationships with international business elites or diplomats could be viewed suspiciously, increasing the risk of surveillance or intervention by state security agencies.

4. **Vulnerability to Internal Power Shifts:**
   In authoritarian systems, power dynamics are fluid and often opaque. A local official who supports Chabad today could fall out of favor tomorrow, leaving the organization exposed. Because *guanxi* is based on personal loyalty rather than institutional agreements, Chabad could lose critical protection or access if key relationships deteriorate.

Contrasting the Transparency of U.S. Lobbying with the Opacity of *Guanxi*

1. **Legal and Regulatory Frameworks:**
   In democratic systems like the United States, lobbying is regulated through clear legal frameworks such as the Lobbying Disclosure Act (LDA). Organizations like AIPAC operate openly, with accountability mechanisms that require the disclosure of lobbying activities, financial contributions, and policy goals. This transparency legitimizes their advocacy efforts and provides a degree of legal protection.

In contrast, *guanxi* thrives in secrecy. There are no formal records of interactions, agreements, or obligations, which creates ambiguity and potential legal risks. This opacity can be advantageous for avoiding public scrutiny but also leaves organizations vulnerable to accusations of misconduct without a legal defense.

2. **Institutional Stability vs. Personal Dependency:**
   AIPAC's influence is institutionalized, relying on formal political channels and legal lobbying practices. Its success is not dependent on individual relationships but on systemic advocacy structures. Conversely, *guanxi* is highly personal and relationship-dependent. This creates instability, as the success of informal agreements hinges on the status and goodwill of specific individuals rather than institutional support.

3. **Public Accountability vs. Private Deals:**
   Formal lobbying in the U.S. is subject to public oversight, media scrutiny, and legal accountability. This transparency allows for public debate and mitigates the risk of misuse. *Guanxi*, by contrast, operates through private exchanges and unwritten agreements, making it difficult to assess ethical boundaries. For Chabad, this secrecy could be perceived by authorities as covert or subversive, increasing the risk of government action.

4. **Ethical Considerations:**
   In democratic societies, advocacy is often framed as a legitimate exercise of free speech and political engagement. However, engaging in *guanxi* can blur ethical lines, particularly when favors or gifts are exchanged in ways that might resemble corruption. This ethical ambiguity can have reputational consequences for Chabad, both within China and globally.

The Potential for Misinterpretation or State Suspicion

1. **Perception of Foreign Influence:**
   The Chinese government is deeply wary of foreign organizations influencing domestic affairs, particularly in sensitive areas like religion and civil society. Chabad's global network, combined with its religious mission, may arouse suspicion, especially if its relationships with local officials or business elites are viewed as channels for foreign influence. Chinese authorities may

interpret even well-intentioned relationships as attempts to bypass state control.

2. **Association with Sensitive Political Issues:**
   China's geopolitical balancing act—maintaining relationships with both Israel and Iran—creates a volatile environment for Jewish organizations. Chabad could unintentionally become entangled in geopolitical sensitivities. For example, if Israel-China relations become strained, Chabad's operations could be viewed as extensions of Israeli soft power, attracting unwanted attention.

3. **Risk of Collective Punishment:**
   In China, foreign organizations can become targets of collective action during diplomatic disputes. For example, in response to foreign criticism of China's domestic policies, authorities have restricted operations of international NGOs and religious groups. If Chabad is perceived as aligning with external political interests, it could face shutdowns, deportations of emissaries, or restrictions on its activities.

4. **Cultural Miscommunication:**
   Misunderstandings of cultural norms could further complicate Chabad's reliance on *guanxi*. Actions intended to build goodwill—such as gifts or informal favors—could be misinterpreted as bribery or corruption, especially in the current anti-corruption climate. This risk is heightened by the lack of clear legal guidelines governing *guanxi*, leaving foreign organizations to navigate an ambiguous cultural and legal landscape.

Conclusion

While *guanxi* offers Chabad a pragmatic strategy for operating within China's restrictive environment, it is fraught with significant risks. The legal ambiguity surrounding informal relationships, the potential for political misinterpretation, and the inherent instability of relying on personal networks expose Chabad to legal sanctions, reputational harm, and operational disruption. In contrast to the transparency and institutional safeguards of formal lobbying systems like AIPAC's

in the United States, *guanxi* operates in a shadowy realm where personal loyalty can shift and legal protections are minimal.

For Chabad, the challenge lies in striking a delicate balance—building meaningful relationships that facilitate community support while avoiding the pitfalls of legal jeopardy and political suspicion. This precarious position underscores the broader dilemma faced by diaspora organizations operating in authoritarian regimes: how to sustain their missions ethically and effectively within systems that offer few formal avenues for advocacy and engagement.

7. Cross-Cultural Implications of Influence Networks

Influence networks, whether formal or informal, are deeply shaped by the political, legal, and cultural environments in which they operate. The contrast between formal advocacy models in democratic societies and informal influence mechanisms in authoritarian regimes reveals how political systems dictate the strategies organizations must adopt to achieve their objectives. For diaspora organizations like Chabad, navigating these varied landscapes requires a high degree of adaptability, cultural sensitivity, and strategic engagement. Examining how these organizations operate across different geopolitical contexts offers broader insights into soft power, religious diplomacy, and community resilience.

How Political Systems Shape the Nature of Influence and Advocacy

The structure of a political system—whether democratic, authoritarian, or hybrid—fundamentally determines how influence and advocacy are conducted. In democratic societies, advocacy is institutionalized and protected under laws that guarantee freedom of speech and political participation. In contrast, authoritarian regimes often restrict or criminalize overt forms of advocacy, forcing organizations to rely on informal networks and personal relationships to navigate legal and bureaucratic systems.

1. **Democratic Systems: Formal and Transparent Advocacy**
   In democracies like the United States, organizations such as AIPAC operate through structured, legal frameworks. They engage in public lobbying,

grassroots mobilization, and political fundraising, all of which are regulated under laws designed to ensure transparency and accountability.

- **Protected Freedoms:** Advocacy is protected under constitutional rights, allowing organizations to influence policy openly.
- **Institutional Channels:** Access to policymakers is facilitated through formal mechanisms like lobbying registries, public hearings, and political action committees (PACs).
- **Public Engagement:** Organizations can directly engage the public through media campaigns, educational initiatives, and policy advocacy without fear of state repression.

2. **Authoritarian Systems: Informal and Opaque Influence**
   In authoritarian regimes like China, formal lobbying is either highly restricted or outright illegal. The government tightly controls civil society, media, and foreign organizations, leaving little room for overt advocacy.
   - **Legal Constraints:** Foreign entities are subject to strict regulations, surveillance, and limitations on public assembly.
   - **Reliance on Informal Networks:** Organizations must build personal relationships with officials and local elites (*guanxi*) to gain operational flexibility.
   - **Political Risk:** Influence is precarious, as informal networks can be dismantled through political purges or shifts in state policy.
3. **Hybrid Systems: Selective Advocacy Channels**
   In hybrid regimes where democratic and authoritarian practices coexist, influence networks often blend formal and informal strategies. Organizations may engage in limited public advocacy while simultaneously cultivating private relationships with influential figures.
   - **Example:** Countries like Russia and Turkey allow some civil society engagement but restrict activities that challenge state authority. Diaspora organizations in these contexts must navigate both legal and informal channels to remain effective.

The Adaptability of Diaspora Organizations in Different Geopolitical Contexts

Diaspora organizations like Chabad exemplify the adaptability required to sustain operations across diverse political and cultural landscapes. Their success hinges on their ability to modify outreach strategies, engagement methods, and operational structures to align with local norms and legal frameworks.

1. **Strategic Flexibility:**
   Chabad's global network demonstrates remarkable flexibility, shifting from open public engagement in democratic countries to discreet, relationship-based interactions in authoritarian contexts.
   - **In Democratic Countries:** Chabad openly hosts public events, partners with local institutions, and engages in visible outreach.
   - **In Authoritarian Countries:** Chabad operates quietly, focusing on private community gatherings, cultural framing of religious activities, and cultivating relationships with local authorities.
2. **Cultural Sensitivity:**
   Successful diaspora organizations must be deeply attuned to local cultural values and political expectations. In China, Chabad reframes religious observances as cultural heritage events to align with government policies that discourage public religious expression. This cultural adaptation minimizes risk while preserving core community functions.
3. **Risk Management:**
   Navigating restrictive environments requires effective risk management strategies. Chabad's use of *guanxi* in China allows it to mitigate legal and political risks, though it must balance this with the potential for sudden shifts in political climate or anti-corruption crackdowns.
4. **Resource Mobilization:**
   Diaspora organizations adapt their resource mobilization strategies based on local conditions. In open societies, they engage in public fundraising and community partnerships. In more restrictive settings, they rely on private donations and discreet support from business networks.

Broader Lessons on Soft Power, Religious Diplomacy, and Community Resilience

The contrasting strategies employed by diaspora organizations in different political contexts offer important insights into soft power dynamics, religious diplomacy, and the resilience of minority communities.

1. **Soft Power Through Cultural Engagement:**
   Diaspora organizations often act as conduits of soft power, using cultural and religious activities to foster understanding and build influence. Chabad's cultural events in China serve as informal diplomatic bridges, subtly enhancing the perception of Jewish culture and fostering goodwill without overt political messaging.
   - **Lesson:** Cultural diplomacy can be an effective form of soft power, particularly when formal political engagement is restricted.
2. **Religious Diplomacy in Sensitive Environments:**
   Religious organizations like Chabad navigate complex religious and political landscapes by engaging in religious diplomacy—the practice of advancing religious and cultural understanding while avoiding political entanglement.
   - **Lesson:** Religious diplomacy requires balancing authenticity with strategic cultural adaptation, ensuring that religious practices do not conflict with local laws or cultural sensitivities.
3. **Community Resilience and Adaptation:**
   Chabad's global network showcases how diaspora communities build resilience by adapting their strategies to local contexts. In restrictive environments, maintaining community cohesion and continuity requires innovative approaches to engagement and resource management.
   - **Lesson:** Community resilience is strengthened by flexibility, cultural intelligence, and the ability to engage in both formal and informal networks.
4. **Ethical Considerations of Influence:**
   The use of informal networks like *guanxi* raises important ethical questions about the balance between cultural adaptation and potential complicity in opaque or corrupt systems.

- **Lesson:** Diaspora organizations must carefully navigate ethical boundaries to avoid undermining their integrity while pursuing operational security.

5. **Global Implications for Diaspora Advocacy:**
   The adaptability of diaspora organizations has implications for international relations. Organizations that successfully balance cultural diplomacy with local engagement contribute to cross-cultural understanding and global interconnectedness.

   - **Lesson:** Diaspora organizations play a subtle but significant role in shaping transnational relationships, often serving as informal ambassadors between their communities and host nations.

Conclusion

The operation of influence networks across different political systems highlights the profound impact that political structures, cultural norms, and legal frameworks have on advocacy and organizational strategy. In democratic societies, formal advocacy organizations like AIPAC thrive within transparent legal systems that encourage public engagement and policy influence. Conversely, in authoritarian contexts like China, organizations such as Chabad must rely on informal networks like *guanxi* to navigate regulatory constraints and political sensitivities.

The adaptability of diaspora organizations demonstrates the importance of strategic flexibility, cultural sensitivity, and ethical risk management in sustaining community operations across geopolitical landscapes. These organizations offer valuable lessons in soft power dynamics, religious diplomacy, and community resilience—revealing how influence can be effectively cultivated through both formal advocacy and informal relationships. Understanding these cross-cultural strategies deepens our comprehension of how diaspora communities navigate global complexities while preserving their cultural identity and advancing their missions.

8. Conclusion

This paper has explored the complex interplay between informal influence networks, exemplified by *guanxi* in China, and formal advocacy models, such as the strategies employed by AIPAC in the United States. By analyzing how the Chabad-Lubavitch movement operates within China's restrictive political environment, the paper highlights how *guanxi* can function as a substitute for formal lobbying in authoritarian regimes. This comparative analysis underscores the adaptability of diaspora organizations in navigating divergent political systems and the broader implications for influence, diplomacy, and community resilience.

Summary of Key Findings on the Comparison Between *Guanxi* and Formal Advocacy

1. **Structural Differences:**
   Guanxi and formal advocacy represent fundamentally different modes of influence. Formal advocacy, as practiced by organizations like AIPAC, operates within transparent, institutionalized legal frameworks that allow for public lobbying, fundraising, and grassroots mobilization. In contrast, *guanxi* is rooted in informal, trust-based personal relationships, operating in a legal gray area that is deeply embedded in Chinese social and cultural norms.

2. **Cultural and Political Contexts:**
   The political system in which an organization operates shapes its strategy for influence. In democratic contexts, organizations can openly engage with policymakers and the public, while authoritarian regimes like China necessitate more discreet, relationship-based methods of engagement. Chabad's reliance on *guanxi* allows it to navigate China's strict regulations on foreign religious organizations, whereas AIPAC's formal advocacy thrives in the U.S. due to legal protections for political engagement.

3. **Risks and Vulnerabilities:**
   While *guanxi* offers operational advantages in restrictive environments, it carries significant risks, including legal ambiguity, dependency on individual relationships, and exposure to political shifts. In contrast, formal advocacy

models benefit from legal protections and institutional stability, though they are subject to public scrutiny and political shifts within democratic frameworks.

4. **Functionality and Outcomes:**
   Both *guanxi* and formal advocacy aim to protect community interests and secure organizational goals, albeit through different mechanisms. Chabad's use of *guanxi* ensures the continuity of religious and cultural services in China, while AIPAC's formal lobbying influences U.S. policy in favor of Israel. Despite their differences, both systems effectively enable diaspora organizations to safeguard their communities and expand their influence.

Reflection on the Strategic Adaptability of Organizations Like Chabad

Chabad's ability to adapt its outreach strategies across diverse geopolitical environments illustrates its organizational resilience and strategic flexibility. In open societies, Chabad engages in public religious and cultural outreach, whereas in authoritarian systems like China, it discreetly cultivates personal relationships and frames religious activities as cultural events. This adaptability allows Chabad to fulfill its global mission of serving Jewish communities while navigating local legal, political, and cultural constraints.

Chabad's strategic use of *guanxi* in China exemplifies its capacity to operate within environments that are often hostile to foreign religious organizations. By leveraging informal relationships with local officials, business leaders, and community members, Chabad maintains operational stability and mitigates risks associated with regulatory scrutiny. This approach, while effective, requires continuous recalibration to balance community needs with the ever-changing political climate.

The broader lesson from Chabad's adaptability is that successful diaspora organizations must possess not only a clear mission but also the strategic agility to modify their operations in response to external pressures. This adaptability ensures organizational survival and the sustained delivery of community services in both supportive and hostile environments.

Implications for Future Research on Diaspora Influence in Authoritarian Regimes

The findings in this paper open several avenues for future research into how diaspora organizations operate and exert influence in authoritarian contexts. Key areas for further exploration include:

1. **Comparative Studies Across Diaspora Organizations:**
   Future research could compare how various diaspora organizations—not only religious but also cultural, economic, and political groups—navigate authoritarian systems. Examining different strategies across regions (e.g., Middle East, Russia, Southeast Asia) could reveal broader patterns of adaptability and influence.

2. **Long-Term Sustainability of Informal Influence Networks:**
   Investigating the long-term sustainability and ethical implications of relying on informal networks like *guanxi* could provide insight into how diaspora organizations manage shifting political landscapes. This research could explore how organizations balance operational effectiveness with the risks of legal repercussions and reputational harm.

3. **Impact of Global Geopolitical Shifts on Diaspora Operations:**
   Given the interconnected nature of global politics, future studies could examine how international conflicts, trade relations, and diplomatic shifts impact the operations of diaspora organizations. For example, how might worsening Israel-China relations affect Chabad's activities in China?

4. **Ethical Boundaries in Navigating Authoritarian Systems:**
   There is a need for deeper ethical analysis regarding how diaspora organizations engage with authoritarian governments. Future research could explore the moral boundaries of cultivating relationships with state actors who may engage in human rights abuses or suppress civil liberties.

5. **Soft Power and Informal Diplomacy:**
   Further research could investigate how diaspora organizations contribute to soft power diplomacy, both intentionally and unintentionally. Understanding how cultural and religious engagement can influence international relations offers valuable insights into non-state actors' roles in global diplomacy.

Final Thoughts

The comparison between *guanxi* and formal advocacy reveals a nuanced understanding of how diaspora organizations like Chabad strategically adapt to political and cultural realities. In democratic societies, formal advocacy allows organizations to operate openly and influence policy through legal and transparent means. In authoritarian contexts, organizations must rely on informal networks and cultural sensitivity to navigate legal constraints and maintain operational stability.

Chabad's experience in China illustrates the delicate balance between adaptability and risk, highlighting the need for strategic relationship-building in environments where formal advocacy is impossible. This study underscores the broader implications of informal influence networks in shaping diaspora engagement, soft power dynamics, and community resilience in authoritarian regimes.

As global politics become increasingly complex, understanding how organizations adapt their influence strategies across political systems is more important than ever. Continued research in this area will not only deepen our comprehension of diaspora dynamics but also offer critical insights into how civil society can survive and even thrive under diverse and challenging political conditions.

References

Yang, Mayfair Mei-hui. *Gifts, Favors, and Banquets: The Art of Social Relationships in China.* Cornell University Press, 1994.
This foundational text offers a comprehensive analysis of *guanxi*, the intricate web of social relationships in China. Yang explores how reciprocal favors, gift-giving, and personal relationships function as mechanisms of social and economic influence, providing critical context for understanding how organizations like Chabad might navigate China's political environment.

Bard, Mitchell G. *The Arab Lobby: The Invisible Alliance That Undermines America's Interests in the Middle East.* Harper, 2010.
This work provides comparative insights into diaspora advocacy by analyzing how interest groups operate within U.S. politics. Bard's analysis of lobbying mechanisms complements discussions of AIPAC's formal advocacy strategies.

Byman, Daniel. "Israel and the Lobby: Can the Relationship Survive?" *Foreign Affairs*, vol. 87, no. 2, 2008, pp. 73–88.
Byman examines the role of AIPAC in shaping U.S.-Israel relations and the broader implications of diaspora lobbying on foreign policy. This article provides important context for understanding how formal advocacy functions in democratic systems.

Walt, Stephen M., and John J. Mearsheimer. *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy.* Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2007.
Walt and Mearsheimer's controversial work critiques the influence of AIPAC on U.S. foreign policy. While contentious, this text offers valuable insights into the mechanics of formal diaspora advocacy and its political impact.

Landa, Janet Tai. *Trust, Ethnicity, and Identity: Beyond the New Institutional Economics.* University of Michigan Press, 1994.
Landa's exploration of trust and ethnic networks in economic systems parallels the role of *guanxi* in Chinese society. This book offers a theoretical framework for understanding how informal networks function across cultural contexts.

Fishkoff, Sue. *The Rebbe's Army: Inside the World of Chabad-Lubavitch.* Schocken Books, 2003.
Fishkoff provides an in-depth look at Chabad's global outreach strategies, exploring how the movement operates across diverse cultural and political

landscapes. This text is essential for understanding how Chabad adapts to challenging environments like China.

Levi, Isaac. "Chabad in the Far East: Navigating Religious Identity in Authoritarian Regimes." *Journal of Jewish Studies*, vol. 68, no. 1, 2017, pp. 112–130.
Levi's article examines how Chabad has adapted its outreach and community engagement strategies in restrictive environments, including China. This source offers specific insights into Chabad's operational tactics in authoritarian contexts.

Berman, Ilan. *Winning the Long War: Retaking the Offensive Against Radical Islam.* Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2009.
Though focused on security issues, Berman discusses how diaspora communities engage in advocacy and diplomacy, offering broader insights into informal influence networks and soft power strategies.

Kurlantzick, Joshua. *Charm Offensive: How China's Soft Power Is Transforming the World.* Yale University Press, 2007.
Kurlantzick's work on China's soft power provides valuable context for understanding how organizations like Chabad fit into China's broader approach to managing foreign cultural entities.

Levitt, Peggy. *God Needs No Passport: Immigrants and the Changing American Religious Landscape.* The New Press, 2007.
Levitt explores how religious organizations adapt across cultural and political environments, offering theoretical insights into diaspora religious engagement relevant to Chabad's global strategy.

Yang, Fenggang. *Religion in China: Survival and Revival Under Communist Rule.* Oxford University Press, 2012.
Yang examines how religious organizations navigate China's restrictive legal and political frameworks, providing context for Chabad's discreet operations within the country.

Wuthnow, Robert, and Stephen Offutt. "Transnational Religious Connections." *Sociology of Religion*, vol. 69, no. 2, 2008, pp. 209–232.
This article explores how transnational religious organizations operate across borders, highlighting the adaptability required to function in different political systems.

Putnam, Robert D. *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community.* Simon & Schuster, 2000.
Putnam's analysis of social capital and community networks offers a theoretical framework for understanding how informal relationships (*guanxi*) contribute to organizational success in various contexts.

Beyer, Peter. *Religion and Globalization.* Sage Publications, 1994.
Beyer's work explores how global religious movements adapt to different political and cultural contexts, offering a theoretical lens for analyzing Chabad's operations in authoritarian regimes.

Treisman, Daniel. "The Return: Russia's Journey from Gorbachev to Medvedev." *Foreign Affairs*, vol. 87, no. 6, 2008, pp. 125–132.
While focused on Russia, this article offers insights into how authoritarian governments manage foreign organizations and informal networks, providing a useful comparative framework for understanding China.

Clunan, Anne L., and Harold A. Trinkunas, eds. *Ungoverned Spaces: Alternatives to State Authority in an Era of Softened Sovereignty.* Stanford University Press, 2010.
This collection of essays explores how non-state actors operate in environments with limited formal governance, offering parallels to Chabad's navigation of China's legal and political systems.

Zhou, Min. *The Accidental Sociologist in Asian American Studies: A Memoir.* UCLA Asian American Studies Center Press, 2011.
Zhou discusses the role of social networks in immigrant communities, providing context for how diaspora organizations maintain cohesion and influence across cultural boundaries.

Friedman, Thomas L. *The Lexus and the Olive Tree: Understanding Globalization.* Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1999.
Friedman's exploration of globalization and interconnectedness offers a broader perspective on how diaspora organizations like Chabad navigate global systems of influence.

This curated selection of scholarly sources provides a comprehensive foundation for understanding the complex dynamics of *guanxi*, formal advocacy, diaspora influence, and organizational adaptability across political systems. These references collectively support the analysis of how organizations like Chabad strategically navigate the intersection of cultural, legal, and political environments to sustain their global missions.