

George and Roger: Big Brother and Comfortably Numb

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

April 30, 2024

In a unique convergence of literary and musical genius, "George and Roger: Big Brother and Comfortably Numb" imagines a profound meeting between George Orwell, the prophetic writer whose visions of a surveillance state have chillingly mirrored our own, and Roger Waters, the musician whose themes of alienation and existential despair have resonated deeply across generations. Set in a dimly lit, slightly run-down pub in a city that eerily resembles the dystopian worlds they both depicted, this narrative explores the deep, philosophical discussions these two figures might have about the nature of society, art, and the human condition. Through their dialogue, Orwell and Waters delve into the implications of living under constant surveillance, the numbing effects of societal pressures, and the role of artists and intellectuals in challenging or succumbing to such realities. This story invites readers to reflect on the enduring impact of their works and the timeless relevance of their insights in our contemporary world.

Keywords: George Orwell, Roger Waters, surveillance, alienation, existential despair, art and society, dystopia, intellectual discussion, philosophical critique, modern society, Big Brother, Comfortably Numb, influence of art, role of artists, Orwellian, Pink Floyd, dialogue, human condition.

Introduction

Setting: The setting is a quaint, somewhat shabby pub located in the heart of a bustling modern-day city. Its worn wooden floors and the subtle flicker of aged light bulbs hanging from the ceiling give it a nostalgic ambiance, reminiscent of a time both past and fictional. The pub's dim lighting casts long shadows, adding a sense of mystery and seclusion, making it an ideal spot for deep, introspective discussions. Outside, the city pulses with technological advancements—giant digital billboards, omnipresent surveillance cameras, and drones flitting across the skyline—creating a backdrop that would not be out of place in the dystopian landscapes described by Orwell in "1984" or echoed in the haunting melodies of Pink Floyd's "The Wall."

Characters:

- **George Orwell**, dressed in a simple, somewhat dated tweed jacket, carries with him the aura of a mid-20th-century intellectual. His keen eyes observe the world with a blend of curiosity and a trace of skepticism. Known for his chillingly accurate predictions about totalitarian regimes and surveillance states, Orwell finds himself both bewildered and intrigued by the modern world that mirrors his darkest forewarnings.
- **Roger Waters**, wearing his signature black t-shirt and with a thoughtful expression, seems both a part of this world and apart from it. His experiences as a rock musician who has explored themes of alienation, war, and the loss of individuality through his lyrics, allow him to perceive the subtle undercurrents of despair and detachment in modern society.

Context: Both men, inexplicably transported to the 21st century, decide to meet after coming across each other's work, which despite being from different mediums and eras, speaks profoundly on themes of oppressive control and emotional isolation. Roger, curious about the literary giant whose work presaged the chilling societal developments he himself

lamented in his music, reached out to Orwell. George, intrigued by Roger's ability to weave complex social and psychological themes into his music, was equally eager to converse. Their meeting is marked by a mutual recognition of each other's role as chroniclers of human disconnection from authentic experiences, forced into the molds of societal expectations and governance.

In this setting, they begin their conversation, each bringing a unique perspective but sharing a common understanding of the dystopian elements that continue to pervade society. The clink of glasses and the low murmur of other patrons form a gentle backdrop to their imminent exchange, which promises to delve deep into the philosophical underpinnings of their works and the grim realities of the world they observe around them.

The Meeting

Opening of the Conversation: As George Orwell and Roger Waters settle into the secluded corner of the dimly lit pub, their initial awkwardness gives way to a shared recognition of the disturbing relevance of their work in this new world they find themselves observing. With a thoughtful nod to one another, they dive into their discussion, each fueled by a profound discomfort with what they've seen and a desire to dissect its implications.

Reflection on Surveillance: George begins the conversation with a troubled tone, noting how his speculative fears of a surveillance state, once confined to the pages of "1984," have manifested in the ubiquitous digital eyes that track movements and behaviors in the city outside. "I imagined Big Brother as a warning, not a blueprint," he remarks dryly, gesturing towards the window where a security camera silently records the street scene.

Roger responds, connecting Orwell's observations to his own experiences and expressions in music. He talks about the song "Comfortably Numb," describing how it explores the individual's internal withdrawal in response to external overstimulation and control. "It's like the whole society is on some kind of sedative, barely noticing the cameras, the screens, the constant monitoring. They've become comfortably numb," he says, his voice tinged with frustration.

Discussion on Emotional Detachment: The conversation shifts as Roger steers the discussion towards the emotional and psychological impacts of such a society. He discusses the alienation and isolation felt by the protagonist in "The Wall," drawing parallels to the modern individual's struggle against a faceless, pervasive authority that seems almost automated in its indifference.

George nods in agreement, highlighting how modern technology not only watches but also influences and manipulates, extending his theory of doublespeak into contemporary terms—where media and online platforms echo and amplify only the most extreme or trivial sentiments, drowning out genuine discourse. "People retreat into whatever private mental space they can find," Orwell observes, "much like Winston sought solace in his hidden journal."

Exceeding Dystopian Expectations: As their drinks slowly warm, untouched on the small table between them, they discuss specific instances where reality has outstripped fiction. George expresses his astonishment at the precision of data analytics, which predict and even shape human behavior—something he hadn't fully envisioned. Roger, with a sigh, adds that the music industry, once a field of rebellion and raw emotion, has often succumbed to these very pressures, packaging rebellion as just another product.

Shared Observations: They share a moment of quiet reflection on the scenes they have witnessed in this modern city—the hollow-eyed commuters, the screens glowing in every hand, the subtle yet constant

presence of propaganda in its new, digital forms. It's a picture painted in real-time, a living testament to their worst fears about human society's trajectory.

In this meeting, George and Roger find common ground not only in their critical view of the world but also in their role as artists and thinkers who challenge the status quo. Their dialogue, while deeply troubling, is also a powerful affirmation of their commitment to questioning and, hopefully, awakening.

Philosophical and Cultural Critique

Arthur Schopenhauer's Influence: George introduces the topic of Arthur Schopenhauer, explaining how the philosopher viewed the world as driven by a primal, insatiable force he called the 'Will'. This Will, according to Schopenhauer, causes endless suffering as it strives without reason or ultimate purpose. Roger finds this idea reflective of modern consumerism, where people are driven to incessantly want more—goods, information, novelty—without a true understanding of why, leading to a cycle of desire and disillusionment. Both agree that this perpetual discontent fuels not only consumer behavior but also broader environmental degradation. They discuss how societies pillage the earth in a desperate grab for resources, much like the blind and directionless striving Schopenhauer described, leading to catastrophic ecological crises.

Albert Camus's Absurdism: Shifting the conversation to Albert Camus, Roger reflects on the concept of the absurd—the clash between humans' desire to find inherent meaning in life and the cold, indifferent universe that offers none. George resonates with this, drawing parallels to the disillusionment of individuals in his dystopian vision, where the state imposes a false narrative of reality and purpose. They explore how, in both their works and in modern society, people often confront the absurdity of

their existence, leading some to rebel against it while others succumb to despair or numbness. Camus's solution, rebellion as a means to find personal liberation and authenticity, strikes a chord with them both as a possible antidote to the emotional and philosophical detachment they see around them.

Friedrich Nietzsche's Ideas: Nietzsche's ideas stir an intense part of their discussion. George brings up the concept of the Übermensch, Nietzsche's vision of a man who creates his own values and lives beyond the common morality of the day, which resonates deeply with Roger, who sees this as akin to the artist's journey in society. They debate how modern culture often suppresses such potential through a mix of entertainment, technology, and politics that standardize thinking and discourage profound personal growth. The discussion turns to Nietzsche's idea of eternal recurrence—the thought of living one's life over and over again—and how this concept could inspire individuals to live more meaningful lives. However, they lament that instead of embracing such a challenge, many choose to live passively, influenced by a culture that rewards conformity and mediocrity.

Through their discussion, George and Roger not only dissect these philosophical ideas but also reflect on their application to both the personal and societal level in the modern world. They recognize that these theories offer not just critiques but also potential paths forward for individuals feeling lost in the often overwhelming and paradoxical landscape of contemporary life.

Themes of Dystopia and Detachment

Big Brother: George delves into the concept of Big Brother, an omnipresent figure symbolizing totalitarian control through surveillance in his novel "1984." He elaborates that while the surveillance aspect is overtly

oppressive, the subtler, more insidious tool is the manipulation of truth and the dissemination of misinformation. Orwell describes how Big Brother not only watches but actively reshapes reality, compelling the populace to accept falsehoods as truth through the mechanism of "doublethink," where contradictory beliefs exist simultaneously. In today's context, he draws parallels to media manipulation and the spread of fake news, which create a climate of fear and confusion, tools just as effective at controlling the populace as any surveillance state. This manipulation leads to a society where people are too paralyzed by misinformation and the complexity of truth to challenge the status quo.

Comfortably Numb: Roger takes the conversation into the emotional landscape painted in "Comfortably Numb," describing the song as a personal narrative of detachment and disassociation. He discusses how the protagonist in the song feels a disturbing disconnect between his inner experiences and the external world, a condition induced by societal pressures and a bombardment of information. This numbness, Roger argues, is a defense mechanism that many adopt in real life, as they are overwhelmed by the relentless pace of modern life, constant connectivity, and the barrage of media. The numbness serves as a survival tactic against the cognitive and emotional overload that modern society engenders.

Roger connects this theme back to his broader musical work with Pink Floyd, where themes of alienation, isolation, and war reflect a collective societal experience. He points out that while his music often depicts these as personal struggles, they are deeply intertwined with the societal structures that promote such detachment as a means to maintain order and compliance. The discussion turns to the societal implications of this detachment, noting that while it might serve as a coping mechanism, it also fosters apathy and complacency, allowing destructive societal norms to perpetuate unchallenged.

In discussing these themes, George and Roger not only outline the mechanisms of dystopia and detachment but also critically examine their impact on both individual and societal levels. They explore how these

themes manifest in both overt and covert ways, influencing how individuals interact with their world and each other, ultimately shaping the fabric of society in profound and often troubling ways.

Climax: The Power of Art as Resistance

Debating Art's Impact: As the evening deepens, the conversation between George Orwell and Roger Waters reaches its emotional and intellectual peak. George starts by positing that while art—be it literature, music, or visual art—can stir the consciousness of individuals, its capacity to incite tangible societal change remains questionable. He argues that despite the dire warnings in his own books, societies have still veered uncomfortably close to the dystopias he envisioned. "Art illuminates the problems," he says, "but does it empower people to solve them?"

Roger counters this with a passionate assertion of art's transformative power. Drawing from his own experiences with Pink Floyd, he discusses how music and lyrics can resonate on a deeply personal level, influencing people's feelings and viewpoints, and sometimes even their actions. "Art doesn't just reflect reality—it challenges it, offering new perspectives and questioning the status quo," Roger argues. He cites instances of anti-war songs and politically charged performances that have galvanized public sentiment and sparked movements.

Art and Dystopia: The dialogue then spirals into a broader philosophical realm as they ponder if dystopia is an inevitable end state for civilizations. George, with his ever-pessimistic outlook, suggests that human nature might be predisposed to creating hierarchical, oppressive societies. "Perhaps dystopia is just civilization in its ultimate form," he muses, stirring his drink absentmindedly.

Roger, however, holds a more nuanced view, suggesting that while dystopian elements are pervasive, the cyclic nature of history shows that no state is permanent. "Art reminds us that these states are not eternal; it wakes us up from complacency," he contends. He believes that while art might not prevent a slide into dystopia, it keeps the spirit of resistance and hope alive, ensuring that there is always potential for revival and reform.

Role of the Artist and Intellectual: As their debate unfolds, both reflect on the role of the artist and the intellectual in society. George describes the intellectual's burden as a "bearer of light" in times of darkness, tasked with keeping the flame of knowledge and truth alive. However, he also acknowledges the risk of disillusionment and resignation that can come from witnessing the limited impact of one's work.

Roger talks about the artist's dual role: to challenge and to console. "We're not just critics of society; we're also its comforters," he says. Art, according to him, serves as a refuge for those who feel isolated by the pressures of conformity and control, creating a sense of community and understanding.

Resolution of Debate: The climax of their conversation sees a convergence of their thoughts. Both agree that while art alone may not change the world, it is indispensable in fostering an environment where change is possible. Art shakes people from their numbness, exposes them to truths they might not otherwise confront, and provides a language for expressing dissent and dreaming of better realities.

As they wrap up their intense discussion, they acknowledge the complexity of their roles—as creators, as thinkers, as challengers of the status quo. They recognize that art's true power lies not in sweeping immediate transformations but in the slow, persistent drip of ideas and emotions that can eventually erode even the most solid foundations of tyranny.

Resolution: Acceptance of Their Roles

Understanding Their Impact: As the night winds down and the last orders are called at the pub, George Orwell and Roger Waters reach a profound understanding of their respective contributions to culture and society. They reflect on how their works have not only acted as mirrors reflecting the darker aspects of human nature and societal tendencies but also as beacons that might guide those who seek to navigate through these tumultuous waters.

George acknowledges that while his novels like "1984" and "Animal Farm" have become synonymous with discussions on surveillance and authoritarianism, the true value lies in their ability to provoke thought and debate. "Perhaps the role of the writer," he muses, "is not to stop the coming of dystopia but to arm the mind against the encroachments of tyranny."

Roger nods in agreement, adding that his music, particularly albums like "The Wall," serves a dual purpose. On one hand, it's a raw outpouring of personal anguish and societal critique; on the other, it offers solace to those who feel isolated or oppressed. "Our songs let people know they're not alone in their feelings of disconnection or resistance," Roger says. "That in itself is a form of empowerment."

The Bittersweet Impact of Their Creations: They delve deeper into the bittersweet nature of their impact. Both creators have witnessed their dystopian visions become touchstones for generations, yet the very issues they address—alienation, loss of freedoms, the blurring of reality—continue to resonate in contemporary society. "It's as if we're painting pictures of fires we can never fully extinguish," George remarks with a tinge of melancholy.

Roger reflects on the personal cost of such profound artistic expression, discussing how it often stems from a place of deep vulnerability and truth-

seeking. "Yet, by sharing our visions, we help others to see more clearly the contours of their cages—and perhaps inspire them to find the door," he adds thoughtfully.

Embracing Their Roles: As the evening concludes, George and Roger come to embrace their roles not just as critics of society but as essential contributors to its conscience. They recognize that while they may not have the power to reshape the world on their own, their works contribute to a collective awakening, keeping the spirit of inquiry and resistance alive.

They agree that their legacies would be the ongoing dialogues sparked by their creations, the kind that can subtly shift perspectives and encourage more critical engagements with the world. "If we've made people question, made them think twice about the truths they're sold, then we've done our job," George states, a note of resolve in his voice.

Roger raises his glass in a quiet toast to their shared journey, "To the power of words and music, then, to stir the soul and shake the foundations."

Their resolution is one of quiet acceptance of their roles as both witnesses to and participants in the unfolding drama of human society. They part ways, knowing that their dialogue will continue, in some form or another, wherever free thoughts are expressed and free spirits gather.

Epilogue: Legacy and Continuation

Reflective Note on the Cycle of Art and Society: As the evening draws to a close and the pub begins to empty, the story pauses to reflect on the timeless dance between art and society. It underscores how art does not exist in a vacuum but is both a product and a shaper of the cultural and social contexts from which it emerges. This interplay is critical: art influences societal views and, in turn, is shaped by the evolving perspectives and

experiences of its audience. In this cycle, each generation of artists and thinkers builds upon the legacy of the past, pushing the boundaries of what is understood, what is accepted, and what can be imagined.

Final Scene: The Inspired Young Person: In the quiet aftermath of George Orwell and Roger Waters' departure, the focus shifts to a young person seated at a nearby table. Throughout the evening, this individual—a student of political science and amateur musician—has been inadvertently eavesdropping on the conversation. With a notebook open in front of them, they've been scribbling notes fervently, their eyes alight with the spark of inspiration.

As the pub's lights dim further, signaling the end of the night, the young person reflects on the profound ideas exchanged by George and Roger. Moved by the discussion of art's role in society and the personal responsibility of artists and intellectuals, they feel a burgeoning resolve to contribute their own voice to the discourse. They sketch out ideas for a thesis that explores the impact of dystopian narratives on political thought, and lyrics for a song that wrestles with the concept of personal freedom in an age of digital surveillance.

Enduring Influence of Critical Thought and Artistic Expression: The epilogue closes on a hopeful note, suggesting that while the challenges of each era may vary, the human capacity for critical thought and artistic expression remains a constant and vital force. The young person represents the new generation of thinkers and creators who are prepared to question, challenge, and innovate, much like Orwell and Waters did in their times.

This scene encapsulates the enduring legacy of artists and intellectuals like Orwell and Waters: their ability to inspire others to observe more keenly, think more critically, and express more boldly. It's a testament to the power of ideas—how they can transcend the confines of their original contexts and continue to resonate, evolve, and inspire long after their creators have left the stage.

The story concludes not just as a record of a profound conversation between two influential figures but as a reminder that the dialogue they represent is ongoing, always awaiting new voices to perpetuate its momentum into the future.

